## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. CRIMINAL NO. 05-26 ERIE

GEORGE L. EBERLE ALESHA M. EBERLE

## HEARING ON PRETRIAL MOTIONS

Proceedings held before the HONORABLE

SEAN J. McLAUGHLIN, U.S. District Judge,

in Courtroom C, U.S. Courthouse, Erie,

Pennsylvania, on Tuesday, March 7, 2006.

## **APPEARANCES:**

CHRISTIAN A. TRABOLD, Assistant United States Attorney, appearing on behalf of the Government.

THOMAS W. PATTON, Assistant Federal Public

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 2 of 235 Defender, appearing on behalf of Defendant George Eberle.

MICHAEL R. HADLEY, Esquire, appearing on behalf of Defendant Alesha Eberle.

Ronald J. Bench, RMR - Official Court Reporter

```
1
                INDEX
2
                        DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
     WITNESSES:
3
  FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
4
  JESSICA LYNN
5
     By Mr. Trabold -
                         5
                                  94
     By Mr. Patton -
                            36
                                       99
     By Mr. Hadley -
6
                             83
                                       103
7
  FOR THE DEFENSE:
9 CRAIG CULHANE
     By Mr. Patton -
                                  120
                       109
      By Mr. Trabold -
                             118
10
  WILLIAM NIEDER
     By Mr. Patton -
                       121
      By Mr. Trabold -
12
                             124
     By Mr. Hadley -
                            127
13
  MARK GANLEY
```

file:///A /EBERLESP.TXT							
14	Case 1:05-cr-00026 By Mr. Patton -	5-SJM 127		nent 95 	5 Filed 03/31/2006	Page 3 of 235	
	By Mr. Hadley -		141				
15	By Mr. Trabold -		143				
16	IAN CHISHOLM						
1.7	•	149		158			
17	By Mr. Trabold -		156				
18							
10							
19							
20	EXHIBITS:	II	DENTI	FIED	ADMITTED		
21	Defendant's Exhibit A		57		182		
22	Defendant's Exhibit B		72		182		
23	Defendant's Exhibit D		114		182		
24							
25							
	2						
	3						
1	PROCEEDINGS						
2							
2							
3	(Whereupon, the proceedings began at 9:05 a.m., on						
4	Tuesday, March 7, 2006, in Courtroom C.)						
5							
6	THE COURT: This is the time we set for hearing						
7	argument on the defendants' motion to suppress. The						

- And defendant Alesha Eberle's motion in limine. Did I miss
- anything? 10
- 11 MR. PATTON: I don't believe so, your Honor. I
- 12 would like to clarify on the record that we had filed
- 13 additional pretrial motions that dealt with Counts One and Two
- of the indictment. But I have been informed, along with the
- court, by Mr. Trabold that the United States is going to
- dismiss Counts One and Two, mooting out the pretrial motion we
- had filed with regards to Counts One and Two.
- 18 THE COURT: I think that is an appropriate
- clarification. Maybe you would want to do that for the record
- right now? 20
- 21 MR. TRABOLD: If the court wants me to file a
- written motion, but I certainly can do it on the record. 22
- 23 THE COURT: Oral is fine right now.
- 24 MR. TRABOLD: For the reasons we discussed in
- chambers I believe last Thursday, primarily that the victim in

this case, Tiffany Smith, now says that the pictures that form

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM the basis for Counts One and Two of the indictment, she says
- those pictures are not of her. The government would move to
- dismiss Counts One and Two of the indictment. Which leaves
- just Counts Three and Four for our purposes here today.
- 6 THE COURT: All right, then those counts are
- 7 dismissed. Are we ready to go?
- 8 MR. TRABOLD: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: All right, let's go.
- MR. TRABOLD: Your Honor, if I could just put one 10
- thing on the record before we call our witness. Typically, 11
- obviously in a search warrant type setting, the four corners of
- the warrant are what controls. I think this essentially
- 14 amounts to counsel requesting a Franks hearing in this case.
- But based on what I know about the case, it appears to me a
- Franks hearing is appropriate, based on what counsel are
- claiming are the claimed defects in the warrant.
- 18 THE COURT: I view the suppression aspect as likely
- generating a Franks hearing, that's really what you're asking
- 20 for, isn't it?
- 21 MR. PATTON: Yes, sir.

Prior to becoming a county detective, did you work in

some capacity at the Erie County DA's office prior to

15 A. Yes, I was their intern for the summer of 2001, and then

officially being a county detective?

file:///A//EBERLESP.TXT (6 of 235)3/30/2006 10:46:56 AM

- 16 I was hired as an assistant county detective up until the time
- 17 when I was hired as a full-time county detective.
- 18 Q. And are you assigned to investigate a particular class of
- 19 cases now as a county detective?
- 20 A. Yes, I am.
- 21 Q. Can you explain what that class of cases is to the judge,
- 22 please?
- 23 A. Sure. I'm employed to investigate child abuse and high
- 24 tech computer crime investigations. I also incorporate those
- 25 two together to investigate Internet crimes against children

- 1 investigations.
- 2 Q. And would it be accurate to say that along those lines
- 3 you've received training in computer investigations and also in
- 4 child exploitation?
- 5 A. Yes, I have.
- 6 Q. First, with regard to computer training, what is the
- 7 nature, just in general terms, of the computer training that
- 8 you received?
- 9 A. Well, I'm a trained computer forensic examiner. I am

- 10 able to forensically examine electronic media storage devices
- 11 to find information that may be on them.
- 12 Q. And what is your educational background?
- 13 A. I received a bachelor's degree from Edinboro University
- 14 in criminal justice.
- 15 Q. Okay. Approximately, how many hours of training would
- 16 you say you received in what I'll generally call computer
- 17 forensics?
- 18 A. I'd say about 300, close to 300.
- 19 Q. And those would be along the lines of training seminars
- 20 that you've attended while employed at the DA's office?
- 21 A. Seminars and hands-on.
- 22 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear what you said?
- 23 A. And hands-on in the classes.
- 24 Q. Okay. Now, with regard to child exploitation, how many
- 25 hours of training would you say you received in that regard,

- 1 approximately?
- 2 A. I'd say close to a hundred hours.
- 3 Q. And day-to-day your cases typically involve child

- 4 exploitation?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And how many cases have you charged where you've been the
- 7 affiant in a child exploitation related crime?
- 8 A. I believe six.
- 9 Q. And separate and apart from the cases where you've been
- 10 the affiant, how many computers have you done a forensic exam
- 11 on relative to child exploitation cases, approximately?
- 12 A. Just child exploitation, I would have to say close to 30.
- 13 Q. Have you done forensic exams in computer cases unrelated
- 14 to child exploitation?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- 16 Q. And those would be any and all types of crimes, white
- 17 collar, perhaps even some violent crime?
- 18 A. Yes, harassment, credit card, identity theft.
- 19 Q. And have you also been the affiant on search warrants for
- 20 computers relative to your investigations?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 Q. Approximately, how many times?
- 23 A. Definitely the six that I've charged.
- 24 Q. Separate and apart from arrests or anything like that,
- 25 are you currently working on what I'll term online

- 1 investigations?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Explain to the judge what you mean by online
- 4 investigations?
- 5 A. Sure. As part of Internet crimes against children, we do
- 6 what are called proactive and reactive investigations. A
- 7 proactive investigation is when the officer poses online in a
- 8 chat room or instant messaging in a chat room. They also pose
- 9 online, which I have done, as a parent of a child, too. The
- 10 reactive part of the investigation is when we currently or
- 11 actively investigate with another police department or the
- 12 National Center for Missing and Exploited Children has sent to
- 13 us a report.
- 14 Q. So proactive is you online in an undercover capacity
- 15 interacting with people who may be attempting to or are engaged
- 16 in child exploitation?
- 17 A. Yes, I pose as a 13-year-old, a 14-year-old and a
- 18 35-year-old female, who is the mother of my 13-year-old person.
- 19 Q. And the reactive investigations are a police department

- 20 in Houston sends you information, hey, we think we have
- 21 somebody in Erie that you need to look at?
- 22 A. Yes, that's what's called Cybertips, which comes from the
- 23 National Center for Missing and Exploited Children.
- 24 Q. Now, as part of not only your training but also your
- 25 experience, have you become familiar with what I'll term

- 1 collector characteristics for people that collect or keep child
- 2 pornography?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And would you characterize this as well-known within the
- 5 child exploitation investigative community that people who are
- 6 interested in child pornography typically keep the material?
- 7 A. Oh, yes.
- 8 Q. And do they keep it for an extended period of time or
- 9 very briefly?
- 10 A. Extended periods of time.
- 11 Q. And there are a number of reasons why folks interested in
- 12 child pornography might keep it for a lengthy period of time?
- 13 A. Yes.

- 14 Q. And one of those reasons is -- well, let me phrase it
- 15 this way. In your experience is it your understanding that
- 16 people interested in child pornography often have a specific
- 17 age range that they're interested in?
- 18 A. Yes, they have a special preference.
- 19 Q. Just by way of example, defendant X might be interested
- 20 in 10-year-old boys?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And then somebody else that you encounter might be
- 23 interested in 14-year-old girls?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And that is most typically the case, that people have a

- 1 focused interest range?
- 2 A. Most of the time.
- 3 Q. In your experience and in your training, is it your
- 4 understanding that these individuals will often trade the
- 5 pictures of child pornography that they have?
- 6 MR. HADLEY: Objection, your Honor, I know we don't
- 7 have a jury here, but he is really leading his own witness

- 8 through this, I'd like to hear it from her.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 11 Q. Is it your understanding that people interested in this
- 12 type of material often trade with other people?
- 13 A. Yes, they'll collect their special preference, but
- 14 they'll also collect other pictures in order to be able to
- 15 trade with other people that they might be communicating with
- 16 in order to get the rest of their collection.
- 17 Q. So if I'm interested in 14-year-olds, I might keep some
- 18 pictures of 10-year-olds so I can trade and get pictures of
- 19 14-year-olds?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Is it your experience that individuals interested in this
- 22 type of material develop an emotional tie to the images?
- 23 A. Yes, a majority of the time they use them for sexual
- 24 gratification.
- 25 Q. Because of that emotional tie, does that tie into them

1 wanting to keep the images for a lengthy period of time?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, is it your experience or do you know this from your
- 4 training, do individuals that have this type of material
- 5 sometimes show it to minors?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Why do they do that?
- 8 A. To lower inhibitions of children.
- 9 Q. What is their purpose in lowering children's inhibitions?
- 10 A. To let them know that other kids do this and that it's
- 11 okay.
- 12 Q. Now, would you characterize the availability -- or let me
- 13 rephrase that. In your experience and based on what you know
- 14 from your training, is it easy to obtain child pornography from
- 15 the Internet?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. What I mean by that, is it your experience or do you have
- 18 specific information to share that persons interested in child
- 19 pornography, do they just go to Google and type in child
- 20 pornography and up it comes?
- 21 A. No, it does not come up when you type that in.
- 22 Q. What is your experience with how the typical seeker of

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 15 of 235

- 23 child pornography obtains it from the Internet?
- 24 A. It's usually, a lot of times it will be in an underground
- 25 chat room, where they'll be able to communicate with other

- 1 people like -- as if they're collector items. Also, there are
- 2 a lot of code terms that you have to use in order to find the
- 3 pictures. People who are familiar with this type of stuff,
- 4 such as child pornography, usually know those terms. One of
- 5 the big key search terms are child models, Lollita, stuff like
- 6 that. But just to type children pornography, you won't find
- 7 it, you have to actively search for these.
- 8 Q. And is it your experience that your typical user on the
- 9 Internet who's not interested in child pornography, are those
- 10 folks typically, do they typically encounter or are they
- 11 bombarded with child pornography on their computer that they
- 12 did not seek out?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Now, are there potential pitfalls or difficulties for the
- 15 individual that's interested in getting child pornography onto
- 16 their computer; what types of things might they encounter that

- 17 make it difficult to obtain the material?
- 18 A. They always run the risk of communicating with a police
- 19 officer, there's a lot of us who are doing the proactive
- 20 investigations. They also run the risk of searching and
- 21 finding the pictures and having the search engine, such as
- 22 Yahoo and AOL, monitoring every move.
- 23 Q. Is there a risk they may be trying to seek out this
- 24 information and then place evidence that's detrimental to them
- 25 on their computer?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Is it your experience that individuals interested in this
- 3 type of material are often interested in instant gratification?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what do you mean by that, what is the aspect of
- 6 instant gratification as it relates to these types of pictures?
- 7 A. When they want to look at the picture, they want to look
- 8 at it right then and there, they're not going to take the time
- 9 to go search the Internet, use code terms or take the risk of
- 10 entering a chat room to trade with someone.

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 17 of 235

- 11 Q. Now, is the typical collector -- can you characterize it,
- 12 is it in some ways like collecting other things?
- 13 A. Yes, I have actually had the defendants tell me that it's
- 14 like collecting baseball cards, it's their prize collection.
- 15 Q. What do they mean by that, what do you take them to mean
- 16 when they say it's like collecting baseball cards?
- 17 A. That they may have a favorite team as a baseball card,
- 18 they have a favorite sexual preference. Have it be children
- 19 under five or boys under 10-years-old. They will use that and
- 20 then trade the other pictures that they get with other people
- 21 to help complete their collection. Such as keeping baseball
- 22 cards for a particular team and trading other team members to
- 23 get that.
- 24 Q. Is that part of what leads you to the conclusion that
- 25 this type of material is kept for a length of time?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Now, I want to turn your focus to the case we're here on
- 3 today, the case involving George and Alesha Eberle. How did
- 4 you first become involved in this case?

- 5 A. I received a referral, a reactive investigation from
- 6 Delaware County, Pennsylvania.
- 7 Q. And what was the nature of that referral and when --
- 8 first of all, when did you receive that referral?
- 9 A. I believe it was August of '04.
- 10 Q. Okay. Late August, beginning or sometime in August?
- 11 A. I would have to look at my report to make sure.
- 12 Q. Well, you get a referral from them in August of '04, what
- 13 is the nature of the referral?
- 14 A. It's what's called a Cybertip from the National Center
- 15 for Missing and Exploited Children.
- 16 Q. What does the Cybertip say, in general terms?
- 17 A. That a particular user uploaded images suspected to be
- 18 child pornography to a Yahoo photo album account.
- 19 Q. And with these Cybertips, is the information typically
- 20 reported by the Internet service provider to the National
- 21 Center for Missing and Exploited children?
- 22 A. Yes, the service providers monitor the e-mails, anything
- 23 posted to accounts. And then if they find anything that is
- 24 suspected to be contraband, they notify the National Center for
- 25 Missing and Exploited Children.

- 1 Q. And that's what happened in this case?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You then receive information from the Delaware County
- 4 Child Exploitation Task Force?
- 5 A. Yes, they're actually the grant holder for the Internet
- 6 Crimes Against Children Task Force in Pennsylvania. They get
- 7 all the Cybertips, then they do the court orders to find out
- 8 the location of the suspect. And then they send it to that
- 9 agency.
- 10 Q. So in Pennsylvania whenever there is a Cybertip coming
- 11 from NCMEC, they all go to Delaware County then they're
- 12 distributed from there?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And does Delaware County, once they receive the tip,
- 15 conduct any investigation to see if they can pin down who the
- 16 person is that is the subject of the pictures?
- 17 A. They generally do a court order.
- 18 Q. Was that done in this case?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was that information provided to you?

- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did you follow-up on that information?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. What steps did you take?
- 25 A. I reviewed the information and found that the Yahoo photo

- 1 album that the pictures were uploaded to came back to a
- 2 George E., and had a zip code and date of birth. And the zip
- 3 code back to Corry.
- 4 Q. Based on that information to George E. in Corry, did you
- 5 follow up with the Corry Police Department?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Based on your investigation with them, were you able to
- 8 come to a determination as to who this George E. that was the
- 9 subject of the Cybertip was?
- 10 A. Yes, the Yahoo screen name was glepa, g-l-e-p-a, 2001.
- 11 And it came through determination through the investigation
- 12 that glepa was George Eberle.
- 13 Q. And once you knew glepa was George Eberle, how did you
- 14 further the investigation from there?

- 15 A. I contacted the Office of Children and Youth and found
- 16 that they did have an OCY worker going to their house, and I
- 17 spoke with her in regards to computers.
- 18 Q. And what did that OCY worker tell you with regard to
- 19 George Eberle's possession of a computer?
- 20 A. The first time I spoke with her, she said that they did
- 21 have a computer and a web cam in their home.
- 22 Q. Did you inquire at that point in time with regard to
- 23 whether they had a computer back in 2001 or were you just
- 24 looking to try and obtain the computer that was currently there
- 25 in 2004?

- 1 A. Yes, I was looking for a computer, given the fact that
- 2 people who possess child pornography, in this case this
- 3 particular individual uploaded, which means they put onto this
- 4 Yahoo account images of suspected child pornography. It's
- 5 typically kept.
- 6 Q. Just so the record is clear, though, the information that
- 7 you received from the Cybertip, which you ultimately
- 8 investigated, you make the determination that George Eberle

- 9 uploaded the pictures that are the subject of the Cybertip onto
- 10 his Yahoo account?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And, again, just so the record is clear, what would the
- 13 pictures that are on a person's Yahoo account, just in general
- 14 terms, would those pictures, are they kept on the individual's
- 15 computer hard drive or are they kept on Yahoo's computer
- 16 equipment?
- 17 A. It could be both. They could store it on the server in
- 18 Yahoo, in this case it was in their photo album, which was on
- 19 Yahoo. But also they could save pictures in their My Documents
- 20 folder on their computer.
- 21 Q. And would you, as a computer investigator, would you
- 22 expect to find, if it was still the computer used to upload the
- 23 pictures to Yahoo, would you expect to find evidence of that
- 24 upload on the computer?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Now, do you do anything -- once you learn that the
- 2 Eberles have a computer and they use a computer from the OCY

- 3 worker, what steps do you take beyond that?
- 4 A. Well, I went and drafted a search warrant to obtain that
- 5 computer. And then when I spoke with the OCY worker again, I
- 6 believe it was a couple weeks later, but I'd have to double
- 7 check the report, it turned out that the computer was no longer
- 8 there.
- 9 Q. And did you then investigate the circumstances of why the
- 10 computer wasn't there?
- 11 A. Yes. She told me that she spoke with Mr. Eberle and
- 12 found that the computer had been taken by the place he rented
- 13 it from for lack of payment.
- 14 Q. Did you then change your search warrant in any way?
- 15 A. Yes, I immediately called the rental company, which I
- 16 believe was House of Television in Corry, and asked to find out
- 17 where that computer was. They told me that it was already
- 18 wiped by the system software and re-rented for approximately a
- 19 month.
- 20 Q. Okay. Just so the record can be as clear as possible and
- 21 the judge can be clear. When the House of Television told you
- 22 that the computer had been wiped, as a computer person, what
- 23 did you take that to mean?

25 users, such as the items under My Documents or anything that

19

Page 24 of 235

- 1 you can easily access, would no longer be available, it would
- 2 be in what's called unallocated space. Essentially, it was
- 3 deleted.
- 4 Q. Okay, just so again we can be clear. Is this typical in
- 5 the computer rental business?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Why?
- 8 A. So someone has a clean computer. A new user would be
- 9 able to have their own preferences on there.
- 10 Q. Let's say person A rents their computer, their rental
- 11 period is done, they return the computer back to the rental
- 12 place. If it's wiped and then re-rented to person B, can
- 13 person B access person A's information?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. The reason for that is because it's in unallocated space
- 16 if there's any information left?
- 17 A. Right, unless they were a forensic examiner and had the

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 25 of 235 special software.

- 19 Q. The special software allows you to delve into areas of a
- 20 person's computer hard drive that the typical user could not
- 21 get to?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And just so we can be as clear as possible, those would
- 24 typically be the areas where deleted items are kept on the
- 25 computer?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So the House of Television tells you the computer is
- 3 wiped and had been re-rented. Do they tell you for how long a
- 4 period of time the computer had been in the possession of the
- 5 new renter?
- 6 A. I would have to check my report, but I'm pretty sure it
- 7 was August 20th.
- 8 THE COURT: Of what year?
- 9 THE WITNESS: 2004, sir.
- 10 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 11 Q. And so for a period of weeks the computer was already in

- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And then based on the information provided by the House
- 15 of Television, you then give to them a search warrant for the
- 16 computer?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Does the House of Television have the computer you want
- 19 immediately available for you?
- 20 A. No, they have to go take it from the other user.
- 21 Q. Now, before we get into what you found if anything on the
- 22 computer, does the wiping of the computer reduce, potentially
- 23 anyways, reduce its evidentiary value to you?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Why?

- 1 A. Because if the Eberles had used the computer, if
- 2 everything would be in unallocated space, it would be very hard
- 3 to determine if there was an image on there, who actually put
- 4 the image there.
- 5 Q. Now, does the re-renting of the computer reduce its

- 6 evidentiary value?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Again, explain why?
- 9 A. Because it's now in someone else's possession and any
- 10 item that they use, such as a document or a picture and they
- 11 delete, will also be in unallocated space. Once something goes
- 12 in unallocated space, it takes away the date and time stamp
- 13 that's put on there by the hard drive. So you no longer have
- 14 the time to match it up to the user.
- 15 Q. So you are now faced with executing a search warrant in
- 16 this first search on a computer that may have a significantly
- 17 reduced evidentiary value for you?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Now, you get the computer, do you perform a forensic exam
- 20 on the computer?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. Do you find anything of evidentiary value on the
- 23 computer?
- 24 A. Absolutely not.
- 25 Q. And let's take that and do a few steps. Do you find

- 1 child pornography on the computer?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Do you find anything in any way linking that computer to
- 4 these two defendants?
- 5 A. No, I did not.
- 6 Q. Did you find anything -- were there items on the computer
- 7 that had nothing to do with them but which you were able to
- 8 easily locate?
- 9 A. Yes, there was documents from the new user. Pictures
- 10 from the new user.
- 11 Q. And when you got the computer, had the House of
- 12 Television attempted to wipe it again so the information from
- 13 the second renter wasn't on there, was that readily apparent?
- 14 A. No, they didn't wipe it again.
- 15 Q. I just want to make sure we're clear on the record with
- 16 this. You get the computer from them. When you do your exam,
- 17 are there, let's say, items on the new user's desktop that you
- 18 are able to easily take a look at?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Were there items on the computer that were not in
- 21 unallocated space that you could easily see?

- 22 A. Yes, but I was searching for unallocated space.
- 23 Q. And nothing whatsoever was found relative to the Eberles?
- 24 A. No, I did a search term for Eberle, for George and
- 25 Alesha, for the screen name glepa2001, and I knew from the

- 1 Office of Children and Youth worker that they had a web cam.
- 2 So I assumed that they would have taken pictures of their
- 3 children or them. I did have a copy of George's and Alesha's
- 4 IDs, through the use of JNET, driver's licenses and state IDs,
- 5 I did not find any picture whatsoever matching to the Eberles.
- 6 Nor did I find any information when I ran a search for their
- 7 names.
- 8 Q. Did you run what's known as a hash value check for the
- 9 pictures of the child pornography that you received from the
- 10 Cybertip?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. And can you explain to the judge what is a hash value --
- 13 I might not even be using the right term, but when you check a
- 14 hash value, what does that even mean?
- 15 A. Every picture has what's called a MD5 hash, which

- 16 essentially in layman's terms is like a fingerprint for a
- 17 photograph or an image. All of the images that were uploaded
- 18 to the glepa2001 Yahoo account had a MD5 hash attached. That
- 19 came from the National Center for Missing and Exploited
- 20 Children. I ran those particular numbers, that hash value,
- 21 that MD5 hash on the rented computer and I did not find a
- 22 match.
- 23 Q. This hash check, is that the typical way that known
- 24 photographs are discovered or compared?
- 25 A. No, I usually don't run MD5 hashes. It was just a last

- 1 chance for this computer.
- 2 Q. And even that last chance was fruitless?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now, what if anything did this lead you to believe, once
- 5 you finish your forensic exam, you don't find anything, what
- 6 conclusion do you arrive at at that point?
- 7 A. I honestly believed that this was not the computer the
- 8 Eberles used.
- 9 Q. And why did you believe that?

- 10 A. Because there was absolutely no evidence whatsoever
- 11 relating to the Eberles ever using this computer.
- THE COURT: I didn't hear that last part?
- 13 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, there was absolutely no
- 14 evidence linking the Eberles to actually using this computer.
- 15 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 16 Q. In your experience did you expect, before you did the
- 17 exam, to be able to extract information relative to the
- 18 Eberles?
- 19 A. I would have expected to at least find a photo, if they
- 20 had taken a photo.
- 21 Q. You would have probably found this in the unallocated
- 22 space?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Now, you have the conclusion that this probably isn't
- 25 even the computer that the Eberles had rented. Did you go back

- 1 to the House of Television to inquire further or to further the
- 2 investigation relative to this first search warrant?
- 3 A. No, I did not.

- 4 Q. Why not?
- 5 A. I had other cases to work on. I did not want to go on a
- 6 fishing expedition to find the correct computer.
- 7 Q. You didn't have anything to really go on with this?
- 8 A. Absolutely not.
- 9 Q. Did you have any expectation that if you had gone back to
- 10 the House of Television, they would have been able to catch up
- 11 to the right computer?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Because they hadn't even given you the computer you
- 14 thought was right in the first place?
- 15 A. I didn't believe it was the correct one.
- 16 Q. Now, with that, the case lies dormant for a period of
- 17 time?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Primarily because you don't have any evidence of
- 20 anything?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And at this point in time when you determine there's
- 23 nothing on this computer that is of usefulness to you,
- 24 approximately how many other open cases or investigations or

25 things that are on your plate that you need to look at do you

- 1 have?
- 2 A. I couldn't even tell you a number.
- 3 O. But more than a half a dozen?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Are you at this same time engaging in these undercover
- 6 investigations?
- 7 A. Not at that time. But I also worked with the child abuse
- 8 unit and investigate sexual and physical abuse as to other
- 9 computer crimes and other work in the District Attorney's
- 10 office, this was not my sole case.
- 11 Q. So, in short, at this point in time you have enough cases
- 12 with evidence that you can work on?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Now, the case lies dormant for a period of time and then
- 15 in March of 2005 something else occurs which causes you to
- 16 become back involved in this investigation?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what is that?

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 34 of 235 A. I get a phone call from the Corry Police Department.

- 20 They have a victim stating that she was molested and raped by
- 21 the Eberles and also photographs were taken of her during that
- 22 time.
- 23 Q. And at the time you investigated the first half of your
- 24 investigation with the computer, you received from NCMEC and
- 25 Delaware County a series of pictures of a young girl?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. By young I mean --
- 3 A. Eleven or 12.
- 4 Q. In those pictures is the young girl naked in some of the
- 5 pictures?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Is the girl engaging in sexually explicit conduct in at
- 8 least some of the pictures?
- 9 A. Some of the pictures.
- 10 Q. And at the time you did that investigation, the child
- 11 that's depicted in the series of pictures that you received,
- 12 which were on Mr. Eberle's Yahoo account, that child was

- 13 unknown?
- 14 A. It was unknown, yes.
- 15 Q. So now in March of '05, you get information from a minor
- 16 female that she's been assaulted by the Eberles?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And pictures taken?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What do you then do to follow-up this investigation?
- 21 A. Well, she said that this happened a couple years prior to
- 22 the time that we got the phone call, which was March of 2005.
- 23 So to put it in the approximate timeframe of the original
- 24 photos being uploaded to Yahoo. So we have the victim come
- 25 into the Children's Advocacy Center to have a forensic

- 1 interview.
- 2 Q. When you say a forensic interview, what is your
- 3 understanding of what that means?
- 4 A. It's a trained professional will speak with the victim.
- 5 Q. What is the training designed to do or to prevent?
- 6 A. To prevent any type of leading or any type of bias

- 7 questions.
- 8 Q. And so the victim that reported to the Corry Police
- 9 Department the assault by these two defendants is forensically
- 10 interviewed?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you participate in that interview or at least witness
- 13 it?
- 14 A. I witnessed it.
- 15 Q. Explain, just so the judge is clear, what are the
- 16 surroundings or the circumstances of how you would witness an
- 17 interview without taking part in it?
- 18 A. Sure. There's a room with two chairs. One's a sofa,
- 19 one's a chair, sitting across from each other, the forensic
- 20 interviewer will sit in one and the child will sit in the
- 21 other. There's an observation room with a one-way mirror. I'm
- 22 sorry, a two-way mirror, I'm sorry. Then we sit in the other
- 23 room so we can watch the interview going on. There's also a
- 24 videotape and a microphone that we can hear.
- 25 Q. So you can be in one room watching the whole interview?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Is the interview videotaped or recorded?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And without going into the lengthy sum and substance of
- 5 the interview, does the victim, when forensically interviewed
- 6 at the Children's Advocacy Center, implicate these two
- 7 defendants in an assault on her?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And separate and apart from the assault, at some point
- 10 during the interview is the victim shown the pictures that came
- 11 from the Cybertip line?
- 12 A. Not during the interview.
- 13 Q. At some point subsequent to the interview?
- 14 A. Yes, she talked in the interview about having pictures
- 15 taken of her and that the Eberles showed them to her on their
- 16 computer. After the interview was done, because that interview
- 17 was pretty much solely for the rape and molestation
- 18 allegations, my partner and I went into speak with her
- 19 separately in regards to the allegations of photographs.
- 20 Q. And the portion that relates to the photographs, was that
- 21 videotaped?
- 22 A. No, it was not.

- 23 Q. Why?
- 24 A. Don't know the answer, I don't know.
- 25 Q. So the videotaped portion of the interview concludes, was

- 1 there something that she said during the course of the
- 2 interview that caused you to go get the photographs and show
- 3 them to her?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What happens when you show the victim this series of
- 6 photographs?
- 7 A. Well, I spoke with her before I showed them to her. I
- 8 asked her, typically, 11 and 12-year-olds usually have a
- 9 favorite color or a favorite outfit or piece of jewelry that
- 10 they usually wear. I asked her if there was anything in
- 11 particular at that age that she always had on. And she said
- 12 that she always wore a necklace with a pendent and she always
- 13 wore a silver ring on her left hand.
- 14 Q. Is the girl that's depicted in those series of photos, is
- 15 it apparent that she has items of jewelry on?
- 16 A. Yes, the girl is wearing a necklace with a pendent and a

- 17 silver ring on her left hand.
- 18 Q. So beyond just saying that she was assaulted and that
- 19 pictures were taken of her, she provides some further
- 20 description of the way she looked when this incident occurred?
- 21 A. Yes, without even looking at the images.
- 22 Q. She talked about the jewelry items before you ever showed
- 23 her the images?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. With that then do you show the victim the series of

- 1 images that come from the Cybertip?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. What if anything does she say and how does she react?
- 4 A. Her reaction was immediate that that was her, those are
- 5 pictures that they took of her. She said that was my pendent.
- 6 Q. Beyond the jewelry, does she say anything else about any
- 7 item of clothing or underwear that she might have had on?
- 8 A. She said it looked like the bra that she had.
- 9 Q. What was her demeanor like when she revealed this
- 10 information?

- 11 A. She was very shook up, very scared, was her immediate
- 12 reaction, teared up, starting crying.
- 13 Q. And at the time you interviewed Tiffany Smith, the
- 14 victim, and the forensic interview occurred, how old,
- 15 approximately, would she have been?
- 16 A. During the interview?
- 17 Q. Yes, when you interviewed her?
- 18 A. Fourteen or fifteen.
- 19 Q. And the assault would have occurred when she was 12 or
- 20 13?
- 21 A. Roughly, yes.
- 22 Q. Now, separate and apart from that information being
- provided to you from the victim, does the victim also indicate
- 24 that she engaged in a three-way conversation with these
- 25 defendants?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And did that occur in March of '05?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And what does she say about -- explain to the judge the

- 5 circumstances of this three-way conversation?
- 6 A. The three-way conversation consisted of -- in a previous
- 7 allegation of the rape and the molestation, Tiffany said that
- 8 the Eberles videotaped it. And in addition to that, the
- 9 pictures that were, she claimed were taken of her. The
- 10 three-way conversation discussed doing that again, taking
- 11 another videotape of a three-way between them.
- 12 Q. Let's take this one step at a time. Does the victim
- 13 indicate that she informed her mother of the assault?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And how does she characterize her mother's reaction?
- 16 A. Her mother didn't believe her.
- 17 Q. Okay. And based on her mother's reaction, does she say
- 18 anything to her mother?
- 19 A. Yes, she says I'm going to prove it to you.
- 20 Q. How does she do that?
- 21 A. She does a three-way call.
- 22 Q. Between who?
- 23 A. Between her mother and Alesha.
- 24 Q. The phone call is set up by who?
- 25 A. By Tiffany.

- 1 Q. The victim sets up the phone call, she calls Alesha
- 2 Eberle, one of the defendants?
- 3 A. From what she said, yes.
- 4 Q. And, again, this is information that you received from
- 5 the victim?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And the victim says she called Alesha Eberle, and is
- 8 anyone else on the phone?
- 9 A. Her mom.
- 10 Q. And is her mom on the phone in a circumstance where
- 11 Alesha would have known her mom was on the phone?
- 12 A. Tiffany said Alesha did not know.
- 13 Q. And what did they discuss while mom is listening in on
- 14 the phone call?
- 15 A. The videotape that had occurred a couple years ago.
- 16 Q. What if anything does the victim reveal about what Ms.
- 17 Eberle said during the course of that phone call?
- 18 A. That the videotape was destroyed somehow, they wanted to
- 19 make another one.

- 20 Q. They wanted to make another one, meaning who?
- 21 A. The Eberles, with Tiffany.
- 22 Q. George and Alesha indicated on the phone call they wanted
- 23 to make another video with the victim?
- 24 A. According to the victim, yes.
- 25 Q. Does the victim indicate that Mr. Eberle gets on the

- 1 phone?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What if anything does the victim say Mr. Eberle said
- 4 during the course of the phone call?
- 5 A. I don't remember that. I just remember she said that
- 6 George did get on.
- 7 Q. Now, after this forensic interview occurs and then you
- 8 discuss these photographs with the victim that are the subject
- 9 of the Cybertip, what do you then do, if anything, with regard
- 10 to this investigation?
- 11 A. Well, she said that there was a current computer and a
- 12 web cam at their house.
- 13 Q. Did she reveal how she knew that?

- 14 A. She was there.
- 15 Q. And at that point in time were you aware that the victim
- 16 and Mrs. Eberle had been in Internet contact?
- 17 A. At that time, no.
- 18 Q. So based on her information that they had a computer in
- 19 their residence at the time of the forensic interview, what if
- 20 anything do you do?
- 21 A. We draft a search warrant.
- 22 Q. What were you seeking to find in the search warrant?
- 23 A. Any and all portable media, storage devices, such as
- 24 USB's, floppies, CD's, portable hard drives, computer, web cam,
- 25 digital cameras and other videotapes.

- 1 Q. Now, with this second search warrant, you were seeking
- 2 the computer and all of these other media that you just
- 3 described?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. With the first search warrant, were you just seeking the
- 6 computer?
- 7 A. Yes.

- 8 Q. Why in the second search warrant would you seek media or
- 9 storage devices beyond just their computer?
- 10 A. When Tiffany said that there was another web cam there, I
- 11 definitely wanted to grab the computer for that part. She also
- 12 said that it was videotaped on VHS. So I wanted to look for
- 13 the VHS. And other means, such as digital cameras or
- 14 recorders. I also wanted to look for floppies, USB's and
- 15 DVD's, because you could also store images on there, such as
- 16 the images that were taken and uploaded to Yahoo. Generally,
- 17 people who rent computers or upgrade computers will save them
- 18 on those portable media devices so they always have it
- 19 accessible to their new computer.
- 20 Q. So oftentimes in your experience the upgrade of a
- 21 computer means you put your old information on CD's or other
- 22 devices, and that's how you transfer it to your new computer?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And you then obtained the Eberle's computer and some
- 25 other equipment?

1 A. Yes.

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 2 Q. Did you conduct a forensic exam on that computer?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. Did you find a quantity of child pornography on the
- 5 computer?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Separate and apart from the child pornography that you
- 8 found, did you find items on the computer that clearly linked
- 9 the computer to the Eberles?
- 10 A. Yes, I found numerous chats. I found other pictures of
- 11 George and Alesha and their kids.
- 12 Q. And this second search would have occurred in late March
- 13 of 2005?
- 14 A. Correct.
- MR. TRABOLD: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 16 MR. PATTON: All right, Mr. Patton.
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. PATTON:
- 19 Q. Ms. Lynn, what specific training have you received to do
- 20 a forensic examination of computers?
- 21 A. I've received forensic training from Access Data. I've
- 22 received training from Internet Crimes.
- 23 Q. Access Data would be the company that manufactures

- 24 forensic software called Forensic Tool Kit?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And is Forensic Tool Kit the forensic software program
- 2 that you use in your forensic evaluations of computers?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you say you've had 300 hours of instructions on how
- 5 to do forensic evaluations?
- 6 A. I would estimate close to 300. Dating back to February
- 7 of 2003, when I started receiving training and also hands-on.
- 8 Q. The 300 hours includes the hands-on time --
- 9 A. As an estimate, yeah.
- 10 Q. When you received the tip from Delaware County regarding
- 11 these Yahoo pictures and after you tracked it down to George
- 12 Eberle and tracked down the fact that he had a computer, you
- 13 wanted to try and get that computer to look at it, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. While you were preparing -- the information from NCMEC
- 16 established that the photos were uploaded to the Yahoo account
- 17 on September 2, 2001, correct?

- 18 A. If that's the date that's on the paper, yes.
- 19 Q. When you get the Cybertip from Delaware County, you're
- 20 now into August of 2004, correct?
- 21 A. That's when it was reported to Delaware County.
- 22 Q. And then Delaware County contacts you, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. So we're roughly three years past the time these photos
- 25 were put up on the Yahoo server, correct?

- 1 A. Yes, that's when Yahoo notified NCMEC, the date is on the
- 2 paper.
- 3 Q. Well, let's not confuse things. Yahoo contacts NCMEC
- 4 sometime in August of 2004?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. With Yahoo saying we have found these images on our
- 7 server and we think they may be child pornography?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. The information Delaware County gets from Yahoo regarding
- 10 when the images were put onto Yahoo's server, was that the
- 11 images were placed on Yahoo's server on September 2nd of 2001,

- 12 correct?
- 13 A. That's correct?
- 14 Q. So in August of 2004 you want to try and get a search
- 15 warrant for George Eberle's computer to try and find these
- 16 images of suspected child pornography that had been placed onto
- 17 George Eberle's Yahoo my photos account?
- 18 A. Or any other child pornography, yes.
- 19 Q. Well, what probable cause did you have to believe that
- 20 there would be some child pornography, other than the Yahoo
- 21 photos, on Mr. Eberle's computer?
- 22 A. People who generally have child pornography just don't
- 23 have one image.
- 24 Q. How many, do they have a lot?
- 25 A. Some do, yes.

- 1 Q. And your understanding and experience would be that if
- 2 someone used their computer to collect, store, trade child
- 3 pornography, would most likely have a large number of images of
- 4 child pornography on their computer?
- 5 A. Most likely, yes.

- 6 Q. And they would want to keep these images on their hard
- 7 drive?
- 8 A. Or on a portable storage media device.

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM

- 9 Q. So that they could keep them for long terms for either
- 10 trading or sexual gratification or lowering the inhibitions of
- 11 other children?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And they would not likely intentionally get rid of those
- 14 images?
- 15 A. Not likely.
- 16 Q. You learned from the caseworker with the Office of
- 17 Children and Youth Services that Mr. Eberle has a computer in
- 18 his house, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. You start working on a search warrant application to get
- 21 that computer, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And in that search warrant affidavit you explain that
- 24 people who collect child pornography generally keep it and
- 25 don't intentionally delete it, correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. You also state, however, that even if they do delete it
- 3 for fear of being detected through the use of a forensic
- 4 software program, you can recover the deleted files?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you then also say that even if someone gets a new
- 7 computer, someone who is storing child pornography on their
- 8 computer gets a new computer, most likely that person will save
- 9 the children pornography from the old computer before they get
- 10 rid of it, and then once they get the new computer, put the
- 11 images of child pornography onto the hard drive of their new
- 12 computer?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. As you were preparing the search warrant to get the
- 15 computer from George Eberle's house, you find out through the
- 16 caseworker that the computer is no longer in George Eberle's
- 17 possession, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And that it's been returned to the rental store and
- 20 you're told the rental store is the House of Television in

- 21 Corry?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So you then contacted the House of Television in Corry,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. To look at that computer?
- 2 A. For me to get the computer.
- 3 Q. Because at this point you feel you have probable cause to
- 4 believe that there is child pornography on that hard drive?
- 5 A. Possibly, yes.
- 6 Q. Well, you think you have probable cause to believe that
- 7 there is child pornography on the hard drive?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. The person from the House of Television that you talk to,
- 10 do you know who you spoke to?
- 11 A. It's in my report, I believe his name was Craig.
- 12 Q. Craig Culhane?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Who's the manager of the House of Television?

- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you told Mr. Culhane that you wanted to get the
- 17 computer that had just been turned back in by George Eberle,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes, but it had not just been turned back in.
- 20 Q. Well, you wanted the computer that had been returned by
- 21 George Eberle?
- 22 A. Yes, I believe it was actually taken by the House of
- 23 Television, but yes, the computer that the Eberles had used.
- 24 Q. Mr. Culhane told you that the Eberles had either turned
- 25 the computer back in or it had been taken by the House of

- 1 Television on or about August 20, 2004?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And you spoke with Mr. Culhane on September 3rd of 2004?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Mr. Culhane told you that the computer had been
- 6 re-rented?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And he told you that before it had been re-rented, it had

- 9 been reformatted to be re-rented, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now, when you get this information, does that lead you to
- 12 believe that there's now no way you could try and get any
- 13 information off the computer relative to George Eberle?
- 14 A. No, not no way.
- 15 Q. Because you know how to use forensic software, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. So it is accurate to say that when information is deleted
- 18 from a hard drive, it doesn't mean the information is
- 19 physically removed from the hard drive?
- 20 A. Right, when it's deleted from the accessible area of
- 21 allocated space, it's put in into unallocated space. And then
- 22 it has the chance to be overwritten and essentially deleted.
- 23 Q. When it goes into unallocated space, from allocated space
- 24 to unallocated space, physically the file on the hard drive is
- 25 not moved, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. All that's happening is the operating system is being

- 3 told you don't have to worry about keeping the data stored at
- 4 this location on the hard drive anymore?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So the computer can at some point use that space again?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. But if it doesn't use that space again, that data is
- 9 still sitting on the hard drive the same way it was sitting
- 10 when it was saved on the computer?
- 11 A. Until it's overwritten, yes.
- 12 Q. Then you knew all this information when you were speaking
- 13 with Mr. Culhane, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. So that's why you believed that even though the computer
- 16 had been -- some procedures had been done by the House of
- 17 Television to prepare the computer for re-renting, you believed
- 18 you could still find evidence of the pictures being on the hard
- 19 drive?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Because, again, it was your belief that these images from
- 22 Yahoo were somewhere on that hard drive in the unallocated
- 23 space?
- 24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And Mr. Culhane agreed to get you the computer so you

- 1 could come and pick it up, is that correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And you went to the House of Television and Mr. Culhane
- 4 turned the computer over to you, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And Mr. Culhane said this is the computer that the
- 7 Eberles had or words to that effect?
- 8 A. I'm pretty sure it was Mr. Culhane, yes.
- 9 Q. And you had made it clear to him which particular
- 10 computer you wanted, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And he then provided that computer to you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You then do a forensic evaluation of that computer?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You picked up the computer I believe on September 4,
- 17 2004, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.

- 19 Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Culhane or whoever was at the
- 20 House of Television when you picked up the computer, about the
- 21 new owner and how long the new owner had it?
- 22 A. No, I just knew that it was returned August 20th of 2004
- 23 and that it had been re-rented.
- 24 Q. Do you know when it had been re-rented?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did you ask when it had been re-rented?
- 2 A. No, he just said it was re-rented.
- 3 Q. So you did not know the length of time that the new user
- 4 had been using the computer?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. For your forensic evaluation, you did what is called data
- 7 carving of the unallocated space, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Data carving is telling the forensic software program to
- 10 go into the unallocated space and you can ask the program to
- 11 look for particular types of files, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.

- 13 Q. One particular type of file is called JPEGs, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. JPEGs are digital images, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Generally speaking, anyone who has a digital photo and
- 18 puts it on their computer, the computer is going to refer to
- 19 that photo as a JPEG file, correct?
- 20 A. Most likely, yes.
- 21 Q. That's based on the fact the extension of the file is
- 22 JPG, correct?
- 23 A. Or JPEG.
- 24 Q. So when you tell the forensic software program do a data
- 25 carving of the unallocated space for JPEGs, you're looking for

- 1 any images that are in the unallocated space on that hard
- 2 drive, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. You did that in this case with the computer you got from
- 5 the House of Television?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 Q. And you found JPEG images in unallocated space?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You reviewed all those images?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How many did you find?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 Q. Well, Forensic Tool Kit allows you to create reports
- 14 regarding the information that's found during the searches,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Yes, I didn't do a report on this because I didn't find
- 17 anything relevant to the case.
- 18 Q. Forensic Tool Kit, it has built into the program that you
- 19 can, and the program does a lot of this for you, says put all
- 20 the JPEGs that we found, put it in a report and print out for
- 21 me, you can do that with Forensic Tool Kit?
- 22 A. No, you have to individually select each image in order
- 23 for it to be exported out for the report, I didn't select any
- 24 images.
- 25 Q. Forensic Tool Kit will show you all the JPEGs it has

- 1 carved out of unallocated space, correct?
- 2 A. Looking at them, yes.
- 3 Q. And then you can select them if you want to or bookmark
- 4 them?
- 5 A. Or flag them, yes.
- 6 Q. And then Forensic Tool Kit can generate a report that
- 7 contains those images and whatever information that comes along
- 8 with those images, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. So you looked through all the JPEG images, there were
- 11 thousands of JPEG images?
- 12 A. I don't recall how many.
- 13 Q. And these are JPEG images that are coming from
- 14 unallocated space, correct?
- 15 A. Correct, the ones that were data correct.
- 16 Q. And these aren't ones that we're talking about the new
- 17 user put on and they're in the new user's my photos file?
- 18 A. No, but the new user could have deleted them and put them
- 19 in unallocated space. Like I said earlier, the dates and times
- 20 aren't there in unallocated space.
- 21 Q. You didn't find any of the 13 images that Yahoo had

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 61 of 235
- 22 turned over to NCMEC that were the suspected child pornography,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 Q. And you specifically looked for any or all of those

- 1 images?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. In addition to looking for any child pornography?
- 4 A. Or anything relating to the Eberles. Like I said, I was
- 5 looking for pictures. I know they had the web cam when they
- 6 had children at the house, I was looking for anything to link
- 7 them to using this computer.
- 8 Q. Well, even though you didn't have Forensic Tool Kit to
- 9 create a report of your forensic evaluation, you wrote a report
- 10 concerning your evaluation, your forensic evaluation of the
- 11 computer that you got from House of Television, correct?
- 12 A. Yes, I wrote that I didn't find any pictures.
- 13 Q. That report, the purpose of that report was to document
- 14 what you had done, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.

- 16 Q. And what you had found?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you see on your screen there, does that appear to be a
- 21 portion of your report?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Do you see where it's dated 9/28/2004?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. That is the report you wrote detailing your forensic

- 1 evaluation of the computer you received from the House of
- 2 Television, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Could you highlight for me, if you could, please, where
- 5 you state that you did searches on the hard drive to look for
- 6 anything linking the computer to the Eberles?
- 7 A. I did not put that in the report.
- 8 Q. Excuse me, what?
- 9 A. I did not put that in the report.

- 10 Q. You did not put in your report that you did any type of
- 11 searching to try and find information that would link the
- 12 computer to the Eberles?
- 13 A. No, I did not.
- 14 Q. The report states, but it does detail the data carving
- 15 that you did, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Looking for HTML sites -- HTML sites are basically web
- 18 pages, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. AOL lists, those would be if the computer is using
- 21 America Online as its Internet service provider, buddy lists,
- 22 things of that nature?
- 23 A. Yes, it was one of the options for data carving.
- 24 Q. And then for the JPEGs?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. But there's no description in there of searching for
- 2 terms saying you searched for terms George or Alesha or Eberle,
- 3 is there?

- 4 A No.
- 5 Q. There's nothing in there saying that I ran a search for
- 6 glepa2001, is there?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And, of course, the computer you had been given, the
- 9 House of Television said look, this is the computer the Eberles
- 10 had used, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And so what you're really looking for on that computer
- 13 was trying to find any images of child pornography, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And if you found images of children pornography, then you
- 16 would be trying to charge George or Alesha Eberle with
- 17 receiving or possessing that child pornography?
- 18 A. If they were the images that were uploaded.
- 19 Q. If you found those images on that hard drive, it was your
- 20 intention to present the case to the District Attorney's office
- 21 to try and prosecute it?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And imagine for a moment that you had found one of those
- 24 13 images on the computer's hard drive, okay?
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. Also imagine for a moment that you didn't find the words
- 2 George or Alesha or Eberle or anything on the hard drive
- 3 linking the computer to the Eberles. You would still have
- 4 presented the case to the District Attorney's office to
- 5 prosecute George Eberle for possessing those images, correct?
- 6 A. I would have sat with an attorney and discussed it.
- 7 Q. Because these links are like business records from the
- 8 House of Television that can establish who has a particular
- 9 computer during a particular date, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And so you can link the computer to the Eberles through
- 12 the House of Television and the House of Television's rental
- 13 records showing who had rented this particular computer,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Then not only did you not find any of the 13 images that
- 17 were from Yahoo, you found no images of child pornography
- 18 whatsoever anywhere on the hard drive?
- 19 A. That's correct.

- 20 Q. Now, you testified today that you honestly believed you
- 21 had the wrong computer from the House of Television?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. That's your testimony. Show me in your report on the
- 24 September 28, 2004 entry, where you put in your report that you
- 25 don't think you have the computer that was used by George

- 1 Eberle?
- 2 A. I didn't.
- 3 Q. You never called the House of Television to say hey, I
- 4 think you might have given me the wrong computer?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. You never went to the House of Television to speak with
- 7 them to say look, I asked for the Eberle's computer, I don't
- 8 think you gave me the Eberle's computer?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. So you think you had probable cause to believe that the
- 11 computer George Eberle rented from the House of Television had
- 12 child pornography on the hard drive -- when you then believe,
- 13 develop to believe that the computer that the House of

- 14 Television gave you wasn't George's computer, you said the heck
- 15 with it, I'm done with it, I'm too busy, I got other things to
- 16 do?
- 17 A. Since I didn't find anything on there, yes.
- 18 Q. Well, but you still believed at this point, according to
- 19 your testimony today, you thought you didn't have the Eberle's
- 20 computer, right?
- 21 A. Possibly, yes.
- 22 Q. If you didn't have the Eberle's computer, you still
- 23 believed you had probable cause to believe that whatever
- 24 computer George Eberle had possessed, that he had rented from
- 25 the House of Television, had these images of child pornography

- 1 from the Yahoo account on the hard drive, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And so you still believe that there is some computer in
- 4 the inventory of the House of Television that has child
- 5 pornography on it and you take absolutely no steps whatsoever
- 6 to try and locate that computer?
- 7 A. That is correct. Possibly with the timeframe, the

- 8 evidence may not be there.
- 9 Q. But you already established that in your forensic skills
- 10 you still believe there's probable cause to believe that the
- 11 images of child pornography that Yahoo had identified were on
- 12 whichever computer George Eberle had rented from the House of
- 13 Television, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And you still believe that after you did your forensic
- 16 evaluation of the computer that the House of Television gave
- 17 you?
- 18 A. I believe it could have been stored on a portable storage
- 19 device.
- 20 Q. But, ma'am, you said that every hard drive that Mr.
- 21 Eberle would have, after he already has these images of child
- 22 pornography on his hard drive back in August or September of
- 23 2001, when they get uploaded, every computer he then has from
- 24 that point on is going to have these images of child
- 25 pornography on the hard drive, correct?

1 A. No, not unless he put it there.

- 2 Q. Did you not put in your affidavit that they would be on
- 3 the hard drive, they would save it on the hard drive --
- 4 THE COURT: Just for the record, there's two
- 5 affidavits.
- 6 BY MR. PATTON:
- 7 Q. You put it in both of your affidavits, for both search
- 8 warrants, that someone had the child porn on the hard drive of
- 9 a computer, they got rid of that computer and got a new
- 10 computer, they would store the child porn from their first
- 11 computer on some kind of storage media, and when they got their
- 12 new computer, they would put the images of child pornography
- 13 that they had stored on the storage media onto the hard drive
- 14 of their new computer, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Because when you got the search warrant for the Eberle's
- 17 computer from the House of Television, you weren't getting any
- 18 other storage media or anything from George Eberle, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. You were saying you had probable cause to believe that on
- 21 the hard drive of that computer, that images of child
- 22 pornography from Yahoo would be found?

file:///Al/EBERLESP.TXT

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 70 of 235

- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. You didn't find them on the computer that the House of
- 25 Television gave you saying -- with the House of Television

- 1 saying here's the Eberle's computer?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. So you didn't draw the conclusion that hey, you know
- 4 what, maybe I was just wrong, maybe George Eberle's computer
- 5 doesn't have child pornography on the hard drive?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You didn't reach that conclusion?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Why not?
- 10 A. Because I believe that possibly it wasn't the right one.
- 11 Also, like I said, they could have portable storage media
- 12 devices. They don't rent thumb drives or CD's.
- 13 Q. Assume that George Eberle had had child pornography on
- 14 the hard drive of the computer he rented from the House of
- 15 Television, but before he took it back to the House of
- 16 Television he stored the child pornography on some kind of

- storage device, a CD, whatever, and then deleted it from the
- hard drive, then turned it back into the House of Television, 18
- with your forensic examination skills, you would still believe 19
- there was probable cause those images would still be on the
- hard drive, correct? 21
- 22 If they were put on the hard drive, yes.
- 23 To get transferred from a hard drive to a storage media,
- the images would have to be on the hard drive first, correct?
- No, not essentially. You can just save a picture and 25

- 1 place it right on to your thumb drive through the USB port.
- 2 Q. We're talking about pictures that were -- you said that
- you believed were on their hard drive, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- And you were getting a search warrant for George Eberle's
- 6 computer, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- You weren't getting -- I'm talking about the first search 8 Q.
- warrant you got here for the House of Television's computer.
- That search warrant wasn't for any storage media or anything

- 11 like that, correct?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. That was a search warrant for the computer George Eberle
- 14 had rented because you were saying you had probable cause to
- 15 believe that on the hard drive of that computer you would find
- 16 these images of child pornography turned over by Yahoo,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. I'm not trying to trick you.
- 20 A. I'm trying to follow you.
- 21 Q. You got a search warrant for the computer George Eberle
- 22 rented from the House of Television?
- 23 A. Right, and that search warrant, I believe, if I could
- 24 look at the front page, did ask for any and all portable
- 25 storage devices.

- 1 Q. I'm showing you Defendant's Exhibit A, this is the same
- 2 Defendant's A that's attached to the motion -- all right. The
- 3 search warrant that you're looking at right now, is the search
- 4 warrant for the computer from the House of Television, correct?

- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. When you initially wrote that search warrant out, you
- 7 originally were intending on having the place to be searched to
- 8 be George Eberle's home, correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Because at the time you were physically writing it, you
- 11 thought the computer was still at George's house, right?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. You actually had the warrant done up and ready to go for
- 14 George's house when you found out the computer had been taken
- 15 back to the House of Television, correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. When you got the information back that the computer had
- 18 been returned to the House of Television, you changed the place
- 19 to be searched on the warrant, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q. And so the items to be searched for does list computers
- 22 and storage media and things of that nature, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. But that was written in there when you were writing the
- 25 search warrant in anticipating searching George's home,

- 1 correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You didn't have any reason to believe that when George
- 4 Eberle turned the computer back into the House of Television,
- 5 George also took down his collection of child porn that he had
- 6 stored on the CD and given to the House of Television, did you?
- 7 A. No, I don't think so.
- 8 Q. You didn't have any probable cause to believe that at the
- 9 House of Television you were going to find any of George
- 10 Eberle's electronic storage media, other than the hard drive of
- 11 the computer that he had rented from them, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. With regards to that search warrant as it related to
- 14 searching the House of Television for the computer rented by
- 15 George Eberle, your probable cause was that there was probable
- 16 cause to believe that the hard drive of that computer would
- 17 contain these 13 images of child pornography Yahoo had found on
- 18 its server, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, if he had put it there.
- 20 Q. You said you had probable cause to believe that he had

Page 75 of 235

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM
- 21 put it there, that it was on there, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. That's the whole way that you establish probable cause to 23
- believe it was on the hard drive by saying it would be on every
- hard drive of every computer that he owned because he would

- 1 save it to the storage media -- to some storage media, he would
- also then put it back onto the hard drive of any new computer
- 3 he got?
- A. Right. 4
- And also in the affidavit in support of that search
- 6 warrant, you put in there that the computer had been returned
- to the House of Television, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- And you put in there that you had talked with Mr. Culhane 9
- from the House of Television who had confirmed that that
- computer that had been rented by George Eberle was there?
- 12 A. Yes.
- And could you read that portion? 13 Q.
- Sure. "This officer spoke with manager Craig Culhane of 14 A.

- 15 the House of Television rental and their possession of a Hewett
- 16 Packard previously rented by George Eberle."
- 17 Q. Your sworn affidavit to the district justice, you're
- 18 putting in there you talked with the people from the House of
- 19 Television and they, the House of Television, have the specific
- 20 computer that had been rented by George Eberle, correct?
- 21 A. Yes, I spoke with them on the 3rd and told them I was
- 22 going to be getting a search warrant for him.
- 23 Q. You assured the judge in your affidavit that the computer
- 24 you were getting from the House of Television was the computer
- 25 that George Eberle had rented from the House of Television?

- 1 A. That's what I was told, yes.
- 2 THE COURT: We're going to take a five-minute
- 3 recess.
- 4 (Recess from 10:20 a.m.; until 10:30 a.m.)
- 5 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Patton.
- 6 BY MR. PATTON:
- 7 Q. Detective Lynn, can we agree that in the affidavit you
- 8 wrote in support of the search warrant to get the computer from

- 9 the House of Television, your affidavit states that you have
- 10 probable cause to believe that on the hard drive of that
- 11 computer would be found the 13 images that Yahoo turned over to
- 12 NCMEC?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Can we agree that your forensic evaluation of that
- 15 computer showed that those images were not on that hard drive?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Can we agree that there were no images of child
- 18 pornography on that hard drive?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And if that computer really had been the one that the
- 21 Eberles used, then the reasonable conclusion to draw from those
- 22 facts would be that in reality those 13 images had never been
- 23 on the hard drive of George's computer, correct?
- 24 A. Can you repeat.
- 25 Q. If you really did get from the House of Television the

- 1 computer that George Eberle had rented from them that had been
- 2 returned to the House of Television, the reasonable conclusion

- 3 to be drawn from your forensic evaluation of that computer
- 4 would be that the images, the 13 images from Yahoo, had never
- 5 been on the hard drive of that computer?
- 6 A. Could possibly.
- 7 Q. Well, that is the reasonable conclusion to be drawn given
- 8 the forensic evaluation you did of the computer, correct?
- 9 A. Given the other circumstances, yes.
- 10 Q. What other circumstances?
- 11 A. Like I stated, I didn't find anything relating the
- 12 Eberles to that, use of the computer.
- 13 Q. But my question is if you assume that was the Eberle's
- 14 computer, I under understand that's not the conclusion you
- 15 drew?
- 16 A. Correct.
- MR. TRABOLD: I'm going to object, what's the
- 18 relevance, we're here to talk about the computer that she
- 19 examined and what her conclusion was. Not hypotheticals about
- 20 whether if in fact this was really the Eberle's computer
- 21 because that's not relevant to our determination.
- THE COURT: It's overruled, go ahead. Last time
- 23 through, then go on.

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006

- 24 BY MR. PATTON:
- 25 Q. If in fact the computer you received from the House of

62

Page 79 of 235

- 1 Television was the computer that had been rented by them to
- 2 George Eberle, the reasonable conclusion to be drawn from your
- 3 forensic evaluation of that computer was that the 13 images of
- 4 suspected child pornography that Yahoo identified had not been
- 5 on that hard drive?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And, indeed, no images of child pornography had ever been
- 8 on the hard drive?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Now, you say you drew the conclusion that the House of
- 11 Television must have given you the wrong computer?
- 12 A. That's a possibility.
- 13 Q. But you never then followed up with the House of
- 14 Television to try and ask them to find the real computer,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Or you never went back to the House of Television to

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 80 of 235

- 18 actually confirm that you had in fact been given the correct
- 19 computer?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Because you simply had too many other things on your
- 22 plate?
- 23 A. Given other investigations, yes.
- 24 Q. Do you really think it's hard to find child pornography
- 25 on the Internet?

- 1 A. If you know where to look no, it's not.
- 2 Q. Do you think it's difficult for anybody using the
- 3 Internet, just a standard Internet user, do you think it's hard
- 4 for them to find child pornography?
- 5 A. I think you have to actively search for it, you can't
- 6 just put child pornography in Google and do search.
- 7 Q. If you're interested in finding child pornography on the
- 8 Internet, your purpose is to get on the Internet and try and
- 9 find child pornography, your opinion is it's difficult to find
- 10 that?
- 11 A. If you're interested in looking for it, you're going to

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 search a little bit to find it. It's not like adult
- 13 pornography where you can get a pop-up immediately or when you
- 14 do a search. You have to actively search for it.
- 15 Q. Are you aware that the Department of Justice is
- 16 subpoenaing Google for the search terms to put into Google,
- 17 trying to prove up that people often unintentionally get child
- 18 pornography in response to their search terms to argue that
- 19 federal law has to be allowed to regulate child pornography?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Let's move on to March of 2005. You become aware that
- 22 Tiffany Smith is making allegations that --
- 23 THE COURT: Did you say 2001?
- MR. PATTON: I meant to say 2005. If I said 2001, I
- 25 meant March of 2005.

- 1 THE COURT: All right.
- 2 BY MR. PATTON:
- 3 Q. This is when you received information that Tiffany Smith
- 4 is saying that George and Alesha Eberle took photos of her
- 5 using their web cam, correct?

- 6 A. Correct
- 7 Q. And that supposedly George had sex with her while Alesha
- 8 was videotaping?
- 9 A. I'd have to re-read the report to see exactly who was
- 10 doing what, but roughly.
- 11 Q. When you found -- well, you watched the forensic
- 12 interview, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And in the forensic interview Tiffany says that this
- 15 happened when she was 12-years-old, just getting ready to turn
- 16 13, correct?
- 17 A. I don't recall exactly the age. I'd have to look and see
- 18 if I have it.
- 19 Q. But she also stated that this happened right before she
- 20 started 6th grade, do you recall that?
- 21 A. Like I said, I'd have to double check.
- 22 Q. Now you were sitting watching this as it happened,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Back in March, yes.
- 25 Q. As the interview was actually taking place, you are

- 1 watching it and listening to it in realtime?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, as you were sitting and watching that, did you have
- 4 the photos that Yahoo had turned over to NCMEC?
- 5 A. In my folder, yes.
- 6 Q. Had you met with Tiffany before the forensic interview?
- 7 A. I don't recall, no. Unless I said hi in the lobby, other
- 8 than that, no.
- 9 Q. You didn't interview her before the forensic interview?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. But you had these photos from Yahoo with you?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Why did you have them with you?
- 14 A. Because we had the phone call from the Corry Police
- 15 Department, like I said, that they said they had a girl saying
- 16 that she was raped, molested, and had photos taken of her by
- 17 the Eberles. I had photos of a young girl that were found in
- 18 the Eberle's Yahoo account. So yes, I had them there.
- 19 Q. And I believe you explained that the purpose of the
- 20 forensic interview was to make sure that a trained interviewer
- 21 discussed with the alleged victim to try and prevent any type

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 84 of 235

- 22 of even unintentional misleading or misinformation being
- 23 developed?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And that's the whole point of doing the forensic

- 1 interview?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Why didn't you have the forensic interviewer show the
- 4 photographs to Tiffany?
- 5 A. Because at that time the forensic interviewer was only
- 6 trained on asking questions about rape and molestation. We had
- 7 a separate account, until she said it in the interview about
- 8 being photographed, we weren't going to mention it at all.
- 9 Q. Then why did you bring the photos with you?
- 10 A. In case she did state in the interview that she had
- 11 pictures taken of her, so we could ask her if she could tell us
- 12 anything she was wearing or any type of particular poses.
- 13 Q. After Tiffany said during the interview that the
- 14 photographing took place and explained that, did you then
- 15 decide I'm going to show her the photographs?

- 16 A. My partner and I did, yes.
- 17 Q. Well, at that point in time, why didn't you have the
- 18 forensic evaluator do that rather than you guys?
- 19 A. We decided we would do it.
- 20 Q. And you decided that you were going to do it without
- 21 having the videotape?
- 22 A. Yes, the videotape, we usually just videotape the
- 23 forensic interview. When the interviewer comes in, she does
- 24 all the stuff with the DVD player and the recorder, to shut it
- 25 off and finalize the CD.

- 1 Q. But you could have asked her to keep the videotape
- 2 rolling while you guys showed Tiffany the images, correct?
- 3 A. I could of, yes.
- 4 Q. The images that were from Yahoo, at least on some of the
- 5 images you see the face of the girl, correct?
- 6 A. Partial face.
- 7 Q. Some of them you see the whole face, correct?
- 8 A. I don't recall an actual full head shot.
- 9 Q. But you were able to look at Tiffany Smith, correct,

- 10 during the forensic evaluation?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You were able to look at her as you were preparing her to
- 13 look at the photos, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you had already seen the photos, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you look at her and try to make a determination in
- 18 your mind as to whether or not you thought that these pictures
- 19 from Yahoo were pictures of this young lady who was standing
- 20 right in front of you?
- 21 A. It could be possible, but there was also four years in
- 22 between, people grow up, people change hair colors.
- 23 Q. So what did you say to her before you showed her the
- 24 photographs?
- 25 A. I asked her to describe to me about the images that were

- 1 taken. She said they were taken by a web cam and that she was
- 2 standing up and doing various poses. Sometimes she had a bra
- 3 on, sometimes she didn't. I asked her if at any particular

- 4 time, given her age, did she have a particular item on such as
- 5 necklaces, a baret, colors of clothing, anything she favored.
- 6 And she said that she always wore a necklace with a pendent and
- 7 she always wore a silver ring on her left hand.
- 8 Q. How did she describe the pendent, the necklace?
- 9 A. I believe she said it had something to do with a heart.
- 10 Q. It was a half heart, correct?
- 11 A. Could be.
- 12 Q. That she got from her sister?
- 13 A. Yes, she said she got it from her sister.
- 14 Q. And on the images from Yahoo, you can see somewhat the
- 15 pendent, correct?
- 16 A. Somewhat, it's very small.
- 17 Q. And it's circular, right?
- 18 A. I'd have to look at the picture.
- 19 Q. Did you bring the disk with the images?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 MR. PATTON: Judge, I have the disk with the images
- 22 on them, I will show them to the court later when I have a
- 23 witness on when we're going to have the computer running.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM

- Q. From your review of what you could see on the photos from
- Yahoo, you thought that was a pendent on that image?
- A. I don't recall her specifically stating it was a heart.
- Q. Well, I just asked you if she said it was a heart, you
- said yes, it was a heart or a half heart, something she got
- from her sister?
- I know she got something from her sister, I don't believe
- she actually stated to me that her pendent was a heart. May I
- 9 look at my report here.
- 10 Q. Sure.
- THE COURT: When you get where you're going, let us 11
- know what page number? 12
- 13 THE WITNESS: Sure. I don't have anywhere that she
- said it was a heart.
- 15 BY MR. PATTON:
- Q. So, now your testimony, is it accurate to say your 16
- testimony is you don't remember if she said anything about what
- kind of pendent it was?

- 19 A. Yeah, I don't have it in my report that she stated
- 20 anything about what type of pendent.
- 21 Q. You didn't ask her?
- 22 A. No. That is when we showed her the pictures.
- 23 Q. And when she looked at the photos, did you tell her
- 24 anything as she was looking at the photos, did you say anything
- 25 to her?

- 1 A. Asked her if she knew who it was and if she did, if she
- 2 could tell us who they were.
- 3 Q. And her response was?
- 4 A. She started crying and said that's me.
- 5 Q. Well, could you explain, if you could, what happened
- 6 between the time that the forensic evaluation was conducted,
- 7 which was, I believe, March 24th of 2005 -- do you want to
- 8 check your report to see if that's the correct date?
- 9 A. Of the forensic interview?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. March 24th.
- 12 Q. 2005, correct?

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM

- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. That's the same date that you showed the photos to
- 15 Tiffany, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What happened between March 24, 2005 and last week, that

Document 95

- 18 allowed somebody to discover that these pictures weren't of
- 19 Tiffany?
- 20 A. Last week when we sat down with her, she stated that they
- 21 were not of her.
- 22 Q. You just sat down and she volunteered hey, I've been
- 23 thinking about it --
- 24 A. I wasn't in the room when it happened.
- 25 Q. After the forensic evaluation of March 24, 2005, you and

- 1 your partner prepare criminal complaints and arrest warrants
- 2 for George and Alesha Eberle, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. You charge them with a host of criminal offenses related
- 5 to the alleged molestation of Tiffany Smith, is that right?
- 6 A. That's right.

- 7 Q. You then went and executed the arrest warrants to George
- 8 and Alesha's residence, is that correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And while you were doing that, you noticed that there was
- 11 a computer in that residence?
- 12 A. No, I knew there was a computer there prior to that.
- 13 Q. How did you know that?
- 14 A. Because Tiffany said there was. So in addition to the
- 15 arrest warrants, we had a search warrant.
- 16 Q. So you find out on March 24, 2005, that George and Alesha
- 17 now have a computer in their house?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you want to try and get a search warrant for that
- 20 computer, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. To look for the images, the 13 images from Yahoo that
- 23 Tiffany says are her, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. That's the probable cause you put forth in the affidavit

- 1 in support of the search warrant that's prepared in March of
- 2 2005 --
- 3 A. Do you have the second warrant that I can look at please,
- 4 sir.
- 5 MR. PATTON: Judge, this would be Defendant's
- 6 Exhibit B as attached to the motion.
- 7 THE COURT: Attached to your motion?
- 8 MR. PATTON: Correct.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 10 BY MR. PATTON:
- 11 Q. Your search warrant, after it establishes who you are and
- 12 your expertise, it starts talking about the information Tiffany
- 13 gave in the forensic evaluation, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And to back up just a moment, is it accurate to say that
- 16 the first paragraph of your affidavit sets forth who you are
- 17 and how you're employed and the training that you have
- 18 received, is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. States that you're a police officer employed as a county
- 21 detective in the Erie County District Attorney's office, and
- 22 that you are charged with the duties of investigating

- 23 violations of the Pennsylvania Crimes Code, that you received
- 24 specialized training in the investigation of computer related
- 25 criminal activity, is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. It says in the past two years you have participated in
- 3 several executions of search warrants for computer stored
- 4 records and evidence, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Nowhere in that paragraph does it explain that you have
- 7 any training at all with regard to the sexual exploitation of
- 8 children, correct?
- 9 A. In that paragraph, no, it doesn't. Well, computer
- 10 related criminal activity, but it doesn't specifically state
- 11 sexual exploitation.
- 12 Q. It doesn't mention that you have any kind of specialized
- 13 training with regard to the activities of people interested in
- 14 child pornography, correct?
- 15 A. No. Like I said, it says computer related criminal
- 16 activity.

- 17 Q. Well, would it be accurate to say that someone can be
- 18 trained in computer-related investigations, for example, like
- 19 being able to do forensic type evaluations, but not also have
- 20 any type of training on child pornographers and the qualities
- 21 they exhibit; is that a fair statement?
- 22 A. Fair statement, yes.
- 23 Q. After you lay forth the information Tiffany provided
- 24 about the alleged photographs taken of her with the web cam and
- 25 George's alleged rape of her while Alesha videotaped it, you

- 1 discuss the information that you received through Delaware
- 2 County and NCMEC from Yahoo, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Laying out that there are these images that Yahoo found
- 5 on their server, that Yahoo turned over to NCMEC, who then went
- 6 to Delaware County, who then directed it to you, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Basically details the investigation you had previously
- 9 done in August and September of 2004, regarding tracking down
- 10 the Yahoo account to George Eberle, correct?

- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You talk about using the IP address in tracking that
- 13 through Internet service providers to find out that this
- 14 person, this glepa2001, was George Eberle, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. You then explain that Tiffany was shown the Yahoo photos
- 17 and said yes, that's me in the photos, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. You then give the information that was very similar, if
- 20 not virtually identical, as from the search warrant application
- 21 you had done for the House of Television computer about that
- 22 child pornographers keep their child pornography for a long
- 23 time and even if they don't keep the child pornography, through
- 24 forensic examinations you can recover that type of deleted
- 25 information, correct?

- 1 A. In addition to other stuff, yes.
- 2 Q. And you also put in information about how if someone has
- 3 child pornography stored on their computer, even if they get a
- 4 new computer, they'll save the child pornography on some type

- 5 of storage media before they get rid of their old computer, but
- 6 that when they get a new computer, they'll upload the child
- 7 pornography back onto the new computer?
- 8 A. Most likely, yes.
- 9 Q. Based on those facts, you had probable cause to believe
- 10 that the images from the Yahoo, that Yahoo found, these 13
- 11 images, were on the hard drive of the computer that was in the
- 12 Eberle's home, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And also potentially on other storage media in the home?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. But also specifically on the hard drive, the computer's
- 17 hard drive?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Because the search warrant asks for permission to search
- 20 the hard drive of the computer, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Along with any other electronic storage media found in
- 23 the home?
- 24 A. That's correct, yes.
- 25 Q. So is it accurate to say that in the affidavit you did in

- 1 March of 2005, the premise for your statement in your affidavit
- 2 that there was probable cause to believe that the images from
- 3 Yahoo were on the hard drive of the computer in the Eberle's
- 4 house, went as follows. At some point in time these images
- 5 were on George and Alesha's hard drive. Because George and
- 6 Alesha are people that have an interest in child pornography,
- 7 they're very unlikely to delete those images. And even if they
- 8 do delete them, we can probably find them using forensic
- 9 software programs. And even if they've gotten new computers,
- 10 they would have stored these pictures before they got rid of
- 11 the old computer, and then put the pictures on the new computer
- 12 and that's why I believe there is probable cause to believe
- 13 that these images are on this hard drive three-and-a-half years
- 14 after they were uploaded to Yahoo?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And for that probable cause finding to be logically
- 17 correct, each computer George Eberle had from August or
- 18 September 2nd of 2001, when these images were uploaded to Yahoo
- 19 and if the images themselves were taken with a web cam hooked

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 98 of 235
- 20 up to the computer, every computer George had would have these
- 21 images of children pornography on them?
- 22 A. Most likely.
- 23 Q. And even if he got rid of the old ones and had gotten new
- 24 ones, the images would be put on the new computer?
- 25 A. If he had a lot of portable storage media device, he

- 1 could put it into the computer and put them on the computer
- 2 again, yes.
- 3 Q. I'm going to have you take a look at the screen for a
- 4 minute. This is page four of the affidavit.
- 5 A. I can't read anything on there. Can I just look at the
- 6 paper.
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. This is on page four of the affidavit, and we're in the
- 10 second paragraph, the paragraph that starts "based on this
- 11 officer's past experience and training," do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Six lines down, about the middle of the page starts with

Page 99 of 235

- 15 affiant believes that persons who use personal computers in
- 16 their homes tend to retain their personal files and data for
- 17 extended periods of time even if a person has replaced, traded
- 18 in or upgraded to a new personal computer. This affiant
- 19 believes personal computer users routinely transfer most of
- 20 their data onto their new computers when making an upgrade.
- 21 This data transfer is often done by saving files from the old
- 22 computer to media sources (CD's or floppy disks, Zip drives,
- 23 USB port device), then opening them onto the new computer and
- 24 saving them to the new hard drive?"
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. That's what your affidavit states?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So your affidavit states that in your experience, in your
- 4 belief, if someone gets rid of their old computer, they save
- 5 all of the information that's on the hard drive of the old
- 6 computer and not just store it on storage media, but then put
- 7 it onto the hard drive of their new computer, correct?

- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And then you link with that information, your information
- 10 that child pornographers don't ever get rid of their collection
- 11 of child pornography, correct?
- 12 A. They're not likely to get rid of it, that's correct.
- 13 Q. Thereby making the assertion to the judge, it's unlikely
- 14 they would have gotten rid of this child pornography even if
- 15 they have gotten got new computers, what most likely happened
- 16 is they save their child pornography off the old computer and
- 17 put it on their new computer?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. If you had one of these people's prior computers and had
- 20 searched their prior computer and it really was their computer
- 21 and found no child pornography whatsoever, then your basis for
- 22 probable cause in this search warrant affidavit would not hold
- 23 water, correct?
- 24 A. I would disagree.
- 25 Q. Why would you disagree?

1 A. Because like I said, I didn't find anything relating the

- 2 Eberles to using that computer.
- 3 Q. Okay. My question is -- we'll take the Eberle's case as
- 4 an example. If you really had the Eberle's computer from the
- 5 House of Television, then if that was really the evidence, your
- 6 basis for asking for establishing probable cause in this search
- 7 warrant affidavit would not be correct?
- 8 A. Well, there's always the possibility that the pictures
- 9 would have been overwritten.
- 10 Q. There's a possibility that pictures would have been
- 11 overwritten, okay. When we were discussing your forensic
- 12 evaluation of the computer you got from the House of
- 13 Television, we discussed what reasonable conclusion would be
- 14 drawn if you assumed that you did in fact have the Eberle's
- 15 computer, but you did not find any of the Yahoo images on that
- 16 computer and indeed no child pornography whatsoever and under
- 17 those facts did you not agree that the reasonable conclusion to
- 18 be drawn would be those images had never been on the hard
- 19 drive, correct?
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. But you didn't include in this affidavit for the March,
- 22 2005 search of the March, 2005 computer, you put in information
- 23 about the investigation you did with linking George Eberle to

- 24 these Yahoo pictures, you included that, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. But you didn't include in your affidavit the information
- 2 that you received from the House of Television, the computer
- 3 that George had possessed from January of 2004 through August
- 4 of 2004 -- had forensically examined the hard drive of that
- 5 computer, specifically looking for the photos that are at issue
- 6 in your search of the March computer, and you didn't find them,
- 7 you didn't put any of that information in your affidavit in
- 8 March?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And you didn't put it in there why?
- 11 A. Because, like I stated, I didn't find any information
- 12 whatsoever linking the Eberles to using that computer. If I
- 13 had, I would have put it in there.
- 14 Q. So the fact that the House of Television says this is the
- 15 computer that the Eberles rented, does not qualify as "any
- 16 information linking the computer to the Eberles?"
- 17 A. I did not find on the computer hard drive anything

- 18 linking the Eberles to actually using it. I didn't find a
- 19 picture, I didn't find their name.
- 20 Q. You did have the manager of the House of Television
- 21 telling you this is the computer that the Eberles rented from
- 22 us?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And you don't have in your report anywhere anything about
- 25 you searching for the terms George and Alesha or glepa2001,

- 1 correct?
- 2 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 3 Q. Detective Lynn, isn't it true that when you were looking
- 4 at the computer in September of 2004, that you started out just
- 5 looking for child pornography, correct -- that's what you were
- 6 interested in finding, right?
- 7 A. The photos, yes.
- 8 Q So you looked for those photos and you didn't find any,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Well, in addition to those photos, you look at each photo
- 11 that comes up. And I was not finding anything.

- 12 Q. You didn't find any child pornography?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And so when you got the computer, you did your data
- 15 carving, the first thing you're doing is looking to see is
- 16 there child pornography on this thing, right?
- 17 A. I'm looking for all images.
- 18 Q. You're looking for all the images and you look at all the
- 19 images to see if there's any child pornography, right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. You did that and you didn't find any child pornography,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. So at that point in time you didn't care whether you
- 25 could develop forensic evidence to link the computer to George

- 1 or Alesha Eberle because there was no child pornography on this
- 2 thing anyway?
- 3 A. No, I did care.
- 4 Q. You cared enough to look to try and link to George and
- 5 Alesha Eberle, but when you decide that geez, the House of

- 6 Television gave me the wrong computer, you make no effort to go
- 7 back to the House of Television to try and locate the correct
- 8 computer, that's your testimony?
- 9 A. My testimony is that I did not believe that it was the
- 10 right computer. It could possibly be, it could possibly not.
- 11 At that time given everything I had, all the work, no, I didn't
- 12 go back and do a fishing expedition.
- 13 Q. A fishing expedition, why would it be a fishing
- 14 expedition?
- 15 A. Because we'd probably have to track down every computer.
- 16 Q. Well, how about having the House of Television checking
- 17 their records to make sure they gave you the right one?
- 18 A. I didn't do that.
- 19 Q. That would have been a pretty short fishing expedition,
- 20 would it not?
- 21 A. Could have been, could have not.
- 22 Q. As a county detective tasked with investigating the
- 23 sexual exploitation of children, you believe that some computer
- 24 that the House of Television had has child pornography on it,
- 25 you think they gave you the wrong one, but then say the heck

- 1 with it, I'm not going to go through the effort of trying to
- 2 find what I believe to be child pornography on one of these
- 3 computers?
- 4 MR. TRABOLD: Objection, asked and answered.
- 5 THE COURT: It has been, last time. I pick up this
- 6 stuff pretty quick, we're kind of rolling over the same ground.
- 7 Go ahead, you can ask it one more time.
- 8 MR. PATTON: That's all right, I'm finished. I have
- 9 to go over it again because I never know until afterwards
- 10 whether it's been picked up.
- 11 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Hadley.
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. HADLEY:
- 14 Q. Good morning, Detective Lynn.
- 15 A. Good morning.
- 16 Q. My name is Attorney Michael Hadley, I represent Alesha
- 17 Eberle. This is the first time we've met.
- 18 A. Could you please speak up.
- 19 Q. Detective Lynn, I want to pick up, please, where on the
- 20 last part, the House of Television search warrant, the part

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 107 of 235

- 21 Attorney Patton was just talking to you about. Am I correct,
- 22 you would be liable for civil damages if you were to search
- 23 with a search warrant the wrong premises or the wrong computer,
- 24 you're conscious of that when you execute a search warrant,
- 25 correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And you realize your obligations as a sworn officer of
- 3 the law is the execution of a search warrant be upon for the
- 4 contents described in the warrant, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And part of that is when you go to the House of
- 7 Television making sure you ascertain that the computer you
- 8 search is the right computer, correct?
- 9 A. It's what I ask for, yes.
- 10 Q. So the truth of it is when you went to get that computer,
- 11 you sincerely believed it to be the Eberle's computer, correct?
- 12 A. At the time, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. It's only because you found nothing that you think
- 14 it wasn't theirs?

Page 108 of 235

- 15 A. It's because I didn't find anything relating their names
- 16 or pictures knowing that they had a web cam.
- 17 Q. But absent finding nothing, everything else you could
- 18 obtain said that was the Eberle's computer, correct?
- 19 A. Repeat that, please.
- 20 Q. Absent finding nothing, everything else that you could in
- 21 due diligence obtain said that was the Eberle's computer,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. That's what they gave me, yes.
- 24 Q. In the early part of your testimony with Attorney
- 25 Trabold, you talked about your experience with child

- 1 pornographers, those who possess it, and you used the term
- 2 collector characteristics, correct?
- 3 A. I believe Attorney Trabold used collector
- 4 characteristics.
- 5 Q. Do you know what that term means?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I mean could we use that term as I cross-examine you, are
- 8 you comfortable with that term, collector characteristics?

- 10 Q. Okay. That would be that these things are readily
- accessible in a file that somebody could click on and view 11
- easily, correct?
- That's not what collector characteristics --13
- What does that term mean to you? Q. 14
- Collector characteristics -- particularly the preference 15
- that they're collecting. If they like blonds, if they like
- under five. If they like small body frames. Those are 17
- characteristics. 18
- Okay. It's the person's -- likes or dislikes? 19
- 20 It's the collector's characteristics the person's
- collecting. 21
- 22 THE COURT: You're going too fast, Mr. Hadley, slow
- down just a little bit. 23
- 24 MR. HADLEY: I'm sorry.
- BY MR. HADLEY:

- What would be the term you would use for the manner and
- the number in which somebody would collect child pornography?

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 A. It could be characteristics of the people -- okay, people
- 4 who are collecting. But the people who store them on devices
- 5 and stuff.
- 6 Q. Did you tell us that your experience was that these are
- 7 normally numerous across the computer in your experience, child
- 8 porn images?
- 9 A. A collector would have more than one picture.
- 10 Q. More than one is two, are you talking normally dozens, in
- 11 your experience?
- 12 A. The lowest number I've ever had was, I believe, 24 and
- 13 that was on a CD. On a hard drive would be about 60.
- 14 Q. And the most you ever had?
- 15 A. Thousands.
- 16 Q. Thousands, okay. And isn't it true, Detective Lynn, that
- 17 the more that are possessed on a computer, the more likely
- 18 they'll remain accessible to Forensic Tool Kit later on,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. I don't believe number has anything to do with how
- 21 accessible it is.
- 22 Q. So it doesn't matter, so one file has just as much chance
- 23 of being overwritten as a thousand files?
- 24 A. No, in that case, that's correct. In that case you're

25 correct.

- 1 Q. You told this court that it was your understanding that
- 2 when computers are re-rented by the House of Television, that
- 3 they're wiped, was that the term you used, wiped?
- 4 A. I don't believe I used a particular term. I remember
- 5 Attorney Patton stating that it was reformatted.
- 6 Q. Would you agree with me that there is a process in which
- 7 a hard drive can be completely cleared and made such that a
- 8 Forensic Tool Kit or forensic examination will be unable to
- 9 detect anything, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, that's true.
- 11 Q. What term do you want to use for that?
- 12 A. I use secure erase.
- 13 Q. All right, so you've got a secure erase on the one
- 14 extreme?
- 15 A. On one extreme, yes.
- 16 Q. That's writing everything over so it's perfectly secure
- 17 from any detective or whomever from looking at it, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.

- 19 Q. Now, short of that, a rental place could just reset the
- 20 computer so that a new owner could operate it with no obvious
- 21 remnants of a previous renter, correct?
- 22 A. They could reset it, yes. But a lot of people, like you
- 23 just said, if you may, a lot of people mix the terms wipe and
- 24 format and reset.
- 25 Q. The computer that you searched in 2004 from the House of

- 1 Television, what was your understanding of what had been done
- 2 to it?
- 3 A. I was originally told it was wiped, but I believe it was
- 4 reset.
- 5 Q. Okay. But you never believe there was a secure erase,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 THE COURT: What's the difference between wiping and
- 9 resetting?
- THE WITNESS: Resetting will go back to the original
- 11 state it was prior to the previous user. And wiping you could
- 12 get rid of the operating system and stuff like that.

- 13 BY MR. HADLEY:
- 14 Q. With either of those, your Forensic Tool Kit could do you
- 15 some good, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 17 Q. One of the things you told the court and included in your
- 18 search warrants was that people could store this stuff on
- 19 removable media, correct, child pornography?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. We're talking USB drives, CD ROMs, floppy disks, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. While you had what you believe to be a good-faith basis
- 24 in September of '04 to search a computer that was returned from
- 25 the Eberles to the House of Television, can you explain to me

- 1 why it is you never thought to get a search warrant for their
- 2 home to look for those things?
- 3 MR. TRABOLD: Objection, relevance.
- 4 THE COURT: What is the relevance?
- 5 MR. HADLEY: It's relevant, your Honor, because we
- 6 believe that after the search of the House of Television, she

- 7 concluded there's nothing there and that is based on what
- 8 you're going to hear that she knew she had the right computer.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled, go ahead ask the question
- 10 again.
- 11 BY MR. HADLEY:
- 12 Q. After you go to the House of Television, why not go
- 13 search the home for removable media?
- 14 A. Because I was informed by the OCY worker that they had
- 15 the computer, she did not tell me about any other removable
- 16 storage devices.
- 17 Q. But it is your experience, as you put in your affidavits,
- 18 that child pornography can be stored in other forms?
- 19 A. Can be, yes.
- 20 Q. You asked for legal permission to search in both search
- 21 warrants for removable media, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. But you never, in at least as of September of '04,
- 24 decided to go to the Eberle's house, correct?
- 25 A. No, I did not.

- 1 Q. Okay. I want to talk about March of 2005, please, which
- 2 was the forensic interview, I guess on the 24th of March, 2005,
- 3 and then your execution of a warrant four days later. Before
- 4 you got your search warrant, you obviously did your interview,
- 5 which was not videotaped with Tiffany, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And you showed Tiffany the images from George's Yahoo
- 8 account, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you bring any other child pornography images with you
- 11 to that interview with Tiffany?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. You showed her only the pictures from George's account,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You brought those with you prior to hearing her answers
- 17 on the forensic interview, correct?
- 18 A. Well, yes, it's a different location from where my office
- 19 is. So I would have to take my files, yes.
- 20 Q. Is it your testimony that you found it even remotely
- 21 believable that the girl who was in those images, which the

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 judge is going to see, was the same person who was right in

- 23 front of you?
- 24 A. Yes, four years later when you have a girl crying, saying
- 25 that's me, yes.

91

Page 116 of 235

- 1 Q. Is it just that she cried that made you believe her?
- 2 A. No, it was her body language. Normally a girl wouldn't
- 3 identify herself as having naked pictures of her if it wasn't
- 4 her.
- 5 Q. But isn't it true that her identification was only
- 6 possible with her acknowledging certain -- you called it
- 7 jewelry?
- 8 A. She said in the pictures she said the girl is me. She
- 9 gave us the description of the jewelry and that she was wearing
- 10 a bra in a couple of photos before she saw them. Which led me
- 11 to believe this could be her.
- 12 Q. Is it possible, because you had only brought the pictures
- 13 for George, because you had an ongoing investigation, isn't it
- 14 true that you actually -- were you leading her to say this was
- 15 her?

- 16 A. Absolutely not. Like I said, she gave me the description
- 17 before she saw the pictures, I didn't have to show her the
- 18 pictures.
- 19 Q. Did you ever consider, as an investigative technique, to
- 20 verify Tiffany's credibility of presenting her with an array of
- 21 photographs and asking her if any of them were her?
- 22 A. I would never ever show anyone child pornography unless I
- 23 had to by court. I would not take in other pictures of
- 24 children and have her look at it.
- 25 Q. You did not consider that?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Therefore, are you telling this court that you had
- 3 already in your own mind concluded that the pictures were
- 4 Tiffany before you showed them to her?
- 5 A. Pretty much when she gave us the description of exactly
- 6 what was in the picture, that she was wearing a necklace, she
- 7 had a bra on, she had a ring on her left land, pretty much, not
- 8 many people would know that if that was in the picture unless
- 9 the picture was of them.

- 10 Q. Is there something in your experience that is unique
- 11 about a woman wearing a bra?
- 12 A. She's 12.
- 13 Q. Is that unique in your experience?
- 14 A. In a picture. If you have a girl, we have a girl who
- 15 said they took pictures of me and in some of the pictures I had
- 16 bra on, some I didn't, she has a necklace and she was wearing a
- 17 ring on her left finger and when you look at the pictures --
- 18 some of the pictures she had on a bra, some she doesn't. She
- 19 has a necklace and a ring on her left finger or the left hand.
- 20 Q. So it is true, then, prior to Tiffany's identification,
- 21 you yourself had concluded that the photographs were Tiffany's?
- 22 A. Most likely than not that they were her, yes.
- 23 Q. What did you say, I didn't hear the answer?
- 24 A. Most likely yes, they were her.
- 25 Q. Well, against the backdrop of your fear of showing the

- 1 wrong child to another child, what level of confidence did you
- 2 feel you had to have before you would show those pictures to
- 3 Tiffany?

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 119 of 235

- 4 A. I believe we actually covered the face and the chest area
- 5 first and then when she identified the items, we showed her the
- 6 picture. We showed her the head before we showed her the
- 7 chest, but she positively identified.
- 8 Q. I'm sorry, you showed her what, what did you show her
- 9 first?
- 10 A. In the picture, like I said, we covered part of the body.
- 11 We didn't flash the picture in front of her.
- 12 Q. Okay, let me get this right. You covered part of the
- 13 body show --
- 14 A. Show the chest.
- 15 Q. Show just the chest?
- 16 A. No, we covered the chest.
- 17 Q. And showed just the head?
- 18 A. The head with the necklace and the ring.
- 19 Q. And that's all you gave Tiffany to go on was her face?
- 20 A. After she said it was her, we removed the paper and she
- 21 said yes, definitely.
- 22 Q. Of course we know now that's not the truth?
- 23 A. That's what she's saying now.
- 24 Q. You don't disagree with her now, do you -- do you have
- 25 any evidence to disagree that's not Tiffany in the pictures?

- 1 A. I'm sorry.
- 2 MR. TRABOLD: What's the relevance of this, we're
- 3 here to --
- 4 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 5 MR. HADLEY: Thank you, your Honor, no further
- 6 questions.
- 7 THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Trabold?
- 8 MR. TRABOLD: Just briefly, your Honor.
- 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 11 Q. Now, did you conclude after you got the computer, the
- 12 first computer now in 2004, the information you had from the
- 13 House of Television -- did you think they had done, I think
- 14 your term was a secure erase or did you think it was a step
- 15 less than that?
- 16 A. I think it was a step less than that.
- 17 Q. Would it have been, and pardon my ignorance of computer
- 18 terms, but the fact that there was data or material on the hard
- 19 drive unrelated to the Eberles, did that cause you to conclude

- 20 that something less than a wipe or a total erase had been done?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q. Closer perhaps to a reformatting, I think is the term?
- 23 A. Closer to that, yes.
- 24 Q. And the fact that the computer had only been reformatted,
- 25 did that even more cause you to conclude that maybe you didn't

- 1 have the right computer because there's nothing from the
- 2 Eberles on there?
- 3 A. Could be, yes.
- 4 Q. Because the entire hard drive wasn't wiped out?
- 5 A. It wasn't blank.
- 6 Q. Would that have led you to the conclusion that if Eberle
- 7 information had at one time been on this computer, it would
- 8 still be there?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, with regard to your report, I believe it's from
- 11 March 28th of '04, the defense cross-examined you on --
- 12 A. I'm sorry, March 28th of when?
- 13 THE COURT: '04.

- 14 THE WITNESS: There is no March 28th of '04, I have
- 15 a March 28th of '05.
- 16 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 17 Q. Hold on, September 28th of '04. You were cross-examined
- 18 with regard to a data entry for the 28th of September, '04?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. The entry you put in there is essentially four lines of
- 21 material?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Was that intended to be the entirety of what you
- 24 discovered or what you did with regard to the Eberle case?
- 25 A. No, it was for me, I know what I did. And at that point

- 1 I wasn't going any further with the investigation.
- 2 Q. This is a summary?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now, if you had included the information in the second
- 5 search warrant about the fact that you had looked at the first
- 6 computer and found nothing, is that all the information you
- 7 would have included or would you have included additional

- 8 information?
- 9 A. I would have included the fact that the House of
- 10 Television told me that they either wiped or reset the computer
- 11 and that absolutely nothing relating to the Eberles was found
- 12 whatsoever. No screen names, no images of them, their
- 13 children, nothing.
- 14 Q. Would you have included the indication from the House of
- 15 Television that the computer had been re-rented?
- 16 A. Yes, I would have.
- 17 Q. With regard to why no FTK report was generated for your
- 18 first search. Are you in the habit of generating such reports
- 19 for cases that are not going to go forward with prosecution?
- 20 A. If there's nothing found, no, I don't generate a report.
- 21 Q. Would there be any reason for you to generate or, I don't
- 22 even know if generate is the correct term, but compile an FTK
- 23 report for a case that's not going to move forward?
- 24 THE COURT: What does FTK stand for for the record?
- THE WITNESS: Forensic Tool Kit.

#### 1 BY MR. TRABOLD:

- 2 Q. Just so we're clear for the record, Forensic Tool Kit is
- 3 just the software that you use to examine a hard drive?
- 4 A. Yes, it's the software created by Access Data.
- 5 Q. Are you in the habit of doing that for cases that aren't
- 6 going to move forward for prosecution?
- 7 A. No, I don't generate a report unless I find something on
- 8 the computer.
- 9 Q. Now, with regard to this whole issue of your discussion
- 10 of the 2001 series of photographs with the victim, Tiffany
- 11 Smith. Prior to showing her the pictures, did you provide her
- 12 a description of what the pictures were?
- 13 A. No, she provided that to me.
- 14 Q. How did your discussion with her begin with regard to
- 15 these pictures?
- 16 A. I asked her if she could tell me about the pictures that
- 17 were taken.
- 18 Q. And you only discussed this with her after she revealed
- 19 in the forensic interview that pictures had been taken of her?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And did the information that she told you about the
- 22 pictures, did that information occur prior to her seeing any of

- 23 them?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did the information that she provided to you about the

- 1 sum and substance of the pictures correspond to what was
- 2 depicted in the actual pictures?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And Tiffany was three or four years older at the point in
- 5 time when you interviewed her from when the pictures would have
- 6 been taken?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And based on your experience, was her emotional reaction
- 9 consistent with somebody that had been victimized?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And did she provide you more information than to just say
- 12 yes, that's me?
- 13 A. She said that's me and that they took the pictures.
- 14 Q. But I mean did she provide any descriptive detail?
- 15 A. About the items she was wearing?
- 16 Q. Correct.

- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Would you agree with me it was more than just somebody
- 19 saying yeah, that's me, and those are the pictures they took of
- 20 me?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Prior to her telling you about the jewelry and the bra,
- 23 did you reveal those details to her at all?
- 24 A. No.
- MR. TRABOLD: Nothing further, your Honor.

### 1 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. PATTON:
- 3 Q. Mr. Trabold asked you some questions about if you would
- 4 have put in your affidavit in March of 2005 the fact that you
- 5 had searched the computer from the House of Television about
- 6 what other evidence or what information you put in the
- 7 affidavit, do you recall that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. I believe you testified that you would have in the March,
- 10 2005 affidavit, put in the fact that you searched the computer

- Document 95 from the House of Television for these specific images from
- Yahoo and did not find them, nor any child pornography, you 12
- would have also put in that the computer had been reformatted 13
- and re-rented, correct?
- I would also put that I found absolutely no information 15
- pertaining to the Eberles found on the computer, like I've
- said. 17
- 18 Q. But you had also agreed with Mr. Trabold when he asked
- 19 you about the fact that it was being reformatted, would not
- have prevented you from finding those images had they actually
- been there or would not have prevented you from finding
- evidence linking the computer to the Eberles, correct?
- Can you repeat that. 23
- Your affidavit itself states that through the forensic 24
- 25 software and with your forensic training, you can recover

- deleted files, correct?
- A. 2 Correct.
- So the fact that the files may have been deleted wouldn't
- have affected whether or not you felt you could retrieve them

- 5 using forensic software, correct?
- 6 A. If they had been written over or had a better wiping
- 7 system at the time.
- 8 Q. Well, I'm not talking about what could have been, I'm
- 9 talking about what the House of Television actually did?
- 10 A. When I spoke with them originally, they used the term
- 11 wipe. Wipe could be anything, wipe could be wiping where it
- 12 would be overwritten or not.
- 13 Q. Ma'am, you've already testified that when you actually
- 14 looked at the hard drive, you quickly concluded that it hadn't
- 15 been completely wiped?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. So you wouldn't have put in your affidavit in March, 2005
- 18 that the House of Television wiped off all the information,
- 19 would you have?
- 20 A. I would have put that they did some sort of resetting,
- 21 reformatting or something, because it was re-rented.
- 22 Q. Well, let me put it to you this way. Before you ever got
- 23 the computer from the House of Television, you knew it had been
- 24 reformatted and re-rented, correct?
- 25 A. I knew something had happened to it.

- 1 Q. But even after you got that information, you went to a
- 2 judge and said I still have probable cause to believe that
- 3 these images are on there and I can find them, correct?
- 4 A. I did not know exactly what was done to it.
- 5 Q. Well, after you got the computer, you talked to Mr.
- 6 Trabold about the things you found, all the stuff you found
- 7 which led you to believe that it hadn't been completely wiped
- 8 or secured enabled in your terms or whatever you call it?
- 9 A. Secure erase.
- 10 Q. Secure erase?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You knew that hadn't happened?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. You actually found data in the unallocated space,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. So you knew whatever the House of Television did to
- 18 prepare for re-renting, didn't make the information in the
- 19 unallocated space unavailable to you through the use of
- 20 forensic software?

- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. May I presume you would have told the judge that in your
- 23 affidavit?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. But you said you included the fact in the affidavit the

- 1 fact that the computer had been re-rented, is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Did you ever check and find out how long the computer had
- 4 actually been re-rented for?
- 5 A. You asked me that before, the answer is still no.
- 6 Q. So you would have put in there that the computer had been
- 7 re-rented, but you had no idea how long it had been re-rented
- 8 for?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Well, would you agree that the length of time it had been
- 11 re-rented, would be relevant to the probability that images in
- 12 the unallocated space would have been overwritten?
- 13 A. Could be.
- 14 Q. The longer it's been re-rented, the higher the

- 15 possibility that data that's in the unallocated space would
- 16 have been overwritten, correct?
- 17 A. That could be.
- 18 Q. The shorter period of time, the less likely?
- 19 A. That could be, depends on how much activity the people
- 20 use on the computer.
- 21 Q. Regardless of the volume of activity a particular person
- 22 uses, whatever volume that is, the longer they do it, the more
- 23 they're going to overwrite, correct?
- 24 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 25 Q. And you said that you would put in the affidavit the fact

- 1 that you didn't find anything linking the computer to the
- 2 Eberles?
- 3 A. I would have, yes.
- 4 Q. Would you put in the affidavit that the House of
- 5 Television was told turn over the computer that the Eberles
- 6 rented and that they gave you that computer?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And would you have put into your affidavit, may I

- 9 presume, the fact that while you thought the House of
- 10 Television gave you the wrong computer, you never took any
- 11 steps whatsoever to try and locate the correct computer from
- 12 the House of Television, would you have put that in your
- 13 affidavit?
- 14 A. I probably would have, yes.
- MR. PATTON: Those are my questions, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. You get one short shot at it
- 17 here.
- MR. HADLEY: Three short questions, your Honor.
- 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. HADLEY:
- 21 Q. Detective Lynn, in September of 2004, the House of
- 22 Television hard drive, the protocol is you make a mirror of
- 23 that and then you work from the mirror, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you still have that mirror?

- 1 A. No, I don't.
- 2 Q. You, like the rest of us, your memory fades with time,

- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And that's why you make reports to refer to later,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. HADLEY: That's all I have, judge, thank you.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you, you're excused.
- MR. HADLEY: May it please the court, your Honor,
- 11 are we going to need her testimony for the motion in limine?
- MR. TRABOLD: I don't know that we necessarily need
- 13 her to introduce the chats into evidence.
- 14 THE COURT: I don't see the purpose in examining her
- 15 about the chats. I mean I have exemplars in the motion. The
- 16 problem is mine, I'm not sure what you're asking me, what do
- 17 you want me to do?
- MR. HADLEY: I guess I want to make sure that before
- 19 you excuse her and she leaves, nobody needs her for the motion
- 20 in limine.
- 21 THE COURT: Well, do you need her?
- MR. HADLEY: I don't need her.
- 23 THE COURT: Mr. Patton, do you need her?

- MR. PATTON: I'm not a party to that motion.
- THE COURT: You don't need her then.

- 1 MR. HADLEY: I don't need her as long as the whole
- 2 chats will come in for your consideration.
- 3 THE COURT: What do I have right now, just excerpts
- 4 of them, is that it?
- 5 MR. TRABOLD: Correct.
- 6 THE COURT: Well, that's up to you folks.
- 7 MR. TRABOLD: I don't think we need her for that.
- 8 THE COURT: I don't, either. So after that long
- 9 discussion, you're free to step down.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. TRABOLD: Nothing further from the government,
- 12 your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Can we get a little time check here.
- 14 What do you figure there, Mr. Patton?
- MR. PATTON: We'll be here a good part of the
- 16 afternoon, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Patton.

MR. PATTON: Your Honor, we would call Carl

- 19 Buckshaw.
- MR. TRABOLD: Your Honor, can I have an offer of
- 21 proof as to what the relevance is of this testimony?
- MR. PATTON: Your Honor, Mr. Buckshaw is going to
- 23 testify about the fact of renting a computer from the House of
- 24 Television. Then we'll put on the testimony of an individual
- 25 who did a forensic evaluation of that hard drive and explain to

- 1 your Honor what kind of information is available on that hard
- 2 drive.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry, tell me again, you say he's
- 4 going to talk about renting a computer. Tell me again, I
- 5 didn't get it?
- 6 MR. PATTON: Carl rented a computer from the House
- 7 of Television. Which I then took and I had our in-house
- 8 computer person run Forensic Tool Kit on the hard drive of
- 9 that. I'm going to have that person testify to explain to you
- 10 and show to you the volume of information that's available on
- 11 those hard drives. Even after they're prepared for re-renting

- 12 and are re-rented. To argue about the reasonableness of the
- 13 conclusions drawn by Detective Lynn.
- 14 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. TRABOLD: What is the relevance of that, the
- 16 only thing that is relevant is what happened to the Eberle's
- 17 computer and hard drive. Not what examination could be done on
- 18 a hard drive rented by somebody working for the Federal
- 19 Defender's office four years after the fact, or two years after
- 20 the fact, whatever it may be.
- 21 THE COURT: I mean, I'm willing to think about this
- 22 some more, but you've got to run this relevance by me again?
- 23 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, Detective Lynn is
- 24 testifying that she did this search of the hard drive, but she
- 25 doesn't have any reports regarding how she did that and the

- 1 information that was developed. And then has testified about
- 2 the conclusions she drew about the hard drive, and about
- 3 whether or not the Eberles had possessed it. What I intend to
- 4 do is put on actually two different people --
- 5 THE COURT: She said she didn't find any evidence of

- 6 pornography or that it was the Eberle's computer, as I
- 7 remember?
- 8 MR. PATTON: That's correct. It's our position,
- 9 number one, she never looked for anything linking it to the
- 10 Eberles because she didn't care to link it to the Eberles
- 11 because the House of Television told her it was the Eberle's
- 12 computer, she searched it for child pornography and didn't find
- 13 any child pornography. She just had no reason to try and link
- 14 it up. But what I want to impress upon your Honor and show
- 15 your Honor is the volume of information that are still
- 16 available on these hard drives and the reasonableness of
- 17 Detective Lynn's position that I searched this hard drive, came
- 18 up with all this data, did not find the images that I'm looking
- 19 for or indeed any child pornography. And then say well, I
- 20 didn't mention that to the judge later on because it wasn't
- 21 relevant. I believe I have the right to develop the evidence
- 22 to support that. Because right now you don't have, nobody has
- 23 been able to see what it is she pulled up during her
- 24 examination because she didn't keep any reports, she didn't run
- 25 and reports of it.

- 1 THE COURT: All right. I'll tell you what. I'm
- 2 going to take the testimony. And then I'm going to determine
- 3 whether it's relevant at some point. But how long is this
- 4 going to take us?
- 5 MR. PATTON: Mr. Buckshaw will be about five
- 6 minutes. All I want to show him is the rental agreement that
- 7 he signed and they delivered to him.
- 8 THE COURT: Would the government just stipulate to
- 9 what he's going to say?
- MR. TRABOLD: Yes, if you're going to hear the
- 11 testimony --
- 12 THE COURT: Why don't you just put on the record
- 13 what this witness is going to say, then we'll see if he agrees
- 14 with it. That will be the shortest testimony anybody has given
- 15 today. Go ahead.
- MR. PATTON: Mr. Buckshaw will testify that he went
- 17 to the House of Television, said he wanted to rent a computer,
- 18 did all the paperwork and had the computer delivered to his
- 19 house. To actually rent a computer from the House of
- 20 Television, they actually have to bring it to your residence,
- 21 they won't just let you carry it out the door. Once that

- 22 happened, Mr. Buckshaw gave the computer to me, I brought it
- 23 back to our office. And then Mark Ganley, who is our computer
- 24 system analyst, took the hard drive out of that computer and
- 25 ran Forensic Tool Kit.

- 1 THE COURT: All right, so stipulated.
- 2 MR. TRABOLD: I have no reason to dispute that, your
- 3 Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you, sir, you're excused.
- 5 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, I have testimony to put on
- 6 from Craig Culhane, who is the manager of the House of
- 7 Television, who interacted with Ms. Lynn. And Will Nieder, for
- 8 lack of a better way to put it, is their tech person, who can
- 9 explain exactly what it is that they do --
- THE COURT: They're here and ready to go now?
- 11 MR. PATTON: Yes.
- 12 THE COURT: All right, you can call them after we
- 13 take a short recess.
- 14 (Recess from 11:41 a.m.; until 11:50 a.m.)
- 15 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Patton.

- MR. PATTON: Your Honor, we'd call Mr. Craig
- 17 Culhane.
- 18 THE COURT: Come on up here, Mr. Culhane. Spell
- 19 your last name for my court reporter?
- THE WITNESS: C-u-l-h-a-n-e.
- 21 CRAIG CULHANE, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
- 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. PATTON:
- 24 Q. Mr. Culhane, where do you work?
- 25 A. House of Television, Corry, Pennsylvania.

- 1 Q. What type of business is that?
- 2 A. It's a rent to own business.
- 3 Q. You guys rent computers?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. In the rent to own business, whether you're renting
- 6 computers or any other items, is it important for you as a
- 7 business to be able to keep track of the items you are renting?
- 8 A. Absolutely.
- 9 Q. Does your business have systems set up to help you track

- 10 the different items that you rent?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. With regards to computers, could you just give us a
- 13 general idea of the systems you guys use to keep track of your
- 14 rental units?
- 15 A. Every unit, regardless of whether it's a computer or a
- 16 couch or a TV, has got a separate stock number on it. Which
- 17 when we enter them into our system, the serial number goes in
- 18 and then you put the stock number on it. So everything has got
- 19 a certain number on it. We do inventory twice a week.
- 20 Q. If you rent an item to an individual and they at some
- 21 point bring the item back, when the item is brought back to
- 22 you, is there anything you guys do to check to make sure you're
- 23 getting the right item back?
- 24 A. Yeah, I mean, I'd say 99 out of a 100 we know what the
- 25 item is. But we always check stock numbers.

- 1 Q. Every time something gets returned, you guys check the
- 2 stock number against your records to make sure the person who
- 3 rented this item is bringing you back the item he rented from

- 4 you?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. When you guys get computers returned to you, what steps
- 7 do you take to prepare the computer for re-rental?
- 8 A. That's really -- what kind of computer it is is really
- 9 going to depend on what I will do to it. Some computers have
- 10 disks for restore, some are self-restored disks. If it's a
- 11 machine that has a disk, it could be one disk, two disks, three
- 12 disks. You turn the machine on, flop the disk in, hit the
- 13 restart button, follow the on-screen directions. Like I said,
- 14 it might be one disk, it might be three. If it's a
- 15 self-restore, you press the power button on the machine, start
- 16 tapping F10, and then it will walk you right through it.
- 17 Q. Are you yourself any kind of expert on computers and how
- 18 they work?
- 19 A. Absolutely not, no.
- 20 Q. Is it fair to say that you have been given instructions
- 21 on steps to follow to restore the computer and you just follow
- 22 those instructions?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. I want to talk to you about a computer that was rented to

25 George Eberle. Do you recall back in the year 2004 renting a

- 1 computer to Mr. Eberle?
- 2 A. Back then, yeah.
- 3 Q. At some point in time were you contacted by Detective
- 4 Jessica Lynn from the Erie County detectives?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did she ask you about this particular computer?
- 7 A. What the conversation was, I mean, I don't recall.
- 8 Q. Could you just give the purpose of why she was calling
- 9 and the actions you took in response to her call?
- 10 A. I have a search warrant for a certain computer, which I
- 11 had to get from somebody who had it on rent and gave it to her.
- 12 Q. She says I have a search warrant for a computer?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And was it identifying what computer the search warrant
- 15 was for?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And is it accurate to say that was the computer that
- 18 George Eberle had rented from your store?

- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now, at that point in time when you had contact, did you
- 21 have that computer in your store?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Were you directed to take steps to get it back into your
- 24 store?
- 25 A. I believe so, yes.

- 1 Q. Did you take steps to get it back into your store?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Let me ask you this. How did you make sure that the
- 4 computer you were going to get back and turn over was the right
- 5 computer?
- 6 A. Got into my system, found out what the stock number was
- 7 on the computer that Mr. Eberle had. Got in, did a search to
- 8 see who had it now. I found out who had it, called that person
- 9 and got my computer.
- 10 Q. Once you got the computer back, did you do anything to
- 11 it?
- 12 A. No.

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95
  13 Q. Did you turn it over to Detective Lynn?
- 14 A. I'm pretty sure it was her. I turned it over to
- 15 somebody.
- 16 Q. After you had given the computer to whatever law
- 17 enforcement officer who wanted it, as a result of the search
- 18 warrant, were you ever contacted and asked are you sure you
- 19 gave us the right computer?
- 20 A. Not that I recall, no.
- 21 Q. Were you ever in any way contacted by phone or in person,
- 22 ever asked or told I think you gave us the wrong computer,
- 23 could you double check to make sure you gave us George Eberle's
- 24 computer?
- 25 A. I don't believe I was ever asked that either, no.

- 1 Q. Are you confident that you gave law enforcement the
- 2 computer that George Eberle rented from your store?
- 3 A. I'm a hundred percent sure.
- 4 Q. No question at all in your mind?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Mr. Culhane, I want to show you what I have marked as

- 7 Defendant's Exhibit D, it's two pages and ask you to take a
- 8 look at it?
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Do you recognize those as records coming from your store?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If I could have those back, I'll put them on the screen.
- 13 If you watch, it will come up your screen, okay. In this first
- 14 page of the exhibit, is that an item history report for the
- 15 computer that George Eberle rented from you guys?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And is it accurate to say that that report basically
- 18 documents all the different people who have rented that
- 19 particular computer from your store?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And is this based on that stock number you previously
- 22 testified to?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Do you see where I'm pointing here on the date of
- 25 8/20/04, it says "item was terminated, reason, return with

- 1 balance, okay to re-rent?"
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Does that represent George Eberle returning the computer
- 4 to your store --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. On August 20th of 2004. According to this, when was the
- 7 computer re-rented then?
- 8 A. On the 26th of August, 2004.
- 9 Q. To a Ms. Wanda Urban?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. When was that gotten back from Ms. Urban?
- 12 A. The 3rd of September, 2004.
- 13 Q. Would that have been when you went back and got the
- 14 computer from Ms. Urban because you had to turn it over?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, the report indicates that it was loaned to a Jim
- 17 George, could you just explain why that's there?
- 18 A. With our system I can't just give something out, I have
- 19 to have it accounted for. So the easiest way for me to do that
- 20 is I pick somebody who had a computer already, used his name
- 21 and loaned it to him, so in my inventory that item was
- 22 accounted for.

- 23 Q. So is it accurate to say that you didn't really give it
- 24 to Jim George?
- 25 A. Not until after.

- 1 Q. Okay. I want to show you the second page of this
- 2 exhibit, is this another record from your store?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. With regards to this particular computer that we've been
- 5 talking about?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Does that detail the rental of the computer to this Wanda
- 8 Urban?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is it accurate to say that Wanda Urban is the client or
- 11 customer who got the computer after George Eberle returned it
- 12 to your store?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How long did Ms. Urban have this computer?
- 15 A. Looks like a week.
- 16 Q. From?

- 17 A. From -- excuse me, the 26th of August to the 3rd of
- 18 September.
- 19 Q. So nine days?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. After you retrieved it from Ms. Urban, you turned it over
- 22 to law enforcement?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. With regard to your putting in Jim George had the
- 25 computer, you said you have to put something in your records;

- 1 is it possible that you did something similar to that earlier
- 2 in time with this computer so you just got messed up as to
- 3 which one George Eberle had rented?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. You're absolutely certain this was the computer George
- 6 Eberle rented?
- 7 A. One-hundred percent certain.
- 8 Q. And that's the one you gave to whatever law enforcement
- 9 officers came to pick it up?
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. At some point was the computer returned to you?
- 12 A. I believe it was late September.
- 13 Q. Did the computer just go back into your guys stock?
- 14 A. Yeah, I took it out of loan, in fact, Jim George, the guy
- 15 who I used, this is going back -- I rent so many computers I'm
- 16 trying to think. I believe that Jim George had a computer like
- 17 the one in question and wasn't happy with it. So I believe I
- 18 swapped him out with that one after I got it back.
- 19 Q. If, after the law enforcement people had picked up this
- 20 computer, if they had contacted you and asked you if you were
- 21 sure that you had given them the computer that George Eberle
- 22 rented from your business, what would you have told them?
- 23 A. You have the computer that George Eberle rented from me.
- 24 Q. No question?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 MR. PATTON: Those are my questions, your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions of
- 3 this gentleman?
- 4 MR. HADLEY: No, sir.

- 5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Trabold.
- 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 8 Q. Do you have the exhibit there in front of you?
- 9 A. I got copies with me.
- 10 Q. Just so I'm clear on what we're talking about here, if
- 11 you have it with you. Does that say on January the 21st of
- 12 2004 was the first time the computer we're talking about was
- 13 rented to Mr. Eberle?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And then it indicates that there was some service done to
- 16 the computer?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you know what the service was?
- 19 A. The problem was it will not read disks. He comments when
- 20 he fixed it, replaced CDRW and installed the Barney software --
- 21 Q. Can you say that again, the last part about the software,
- 22 I didn't hear what you said?
- 23 A. It says replaced CDRW with an R-tech 52 by 24 by 52, and
- 24 installed the Nero-Barney software.
- 25 Q. Do you have any idea what that means?

- 1 A. A Nero is for burning music, burning CD's, as far as I
- 2 know. I'm not really good with all that software, I know how
- 3 to restore them, I know how to maybe change a drive, that's
- 4 about it.
- 5 Q. Does that have anything to do with the hard drive, that
- 6 you can tell on there?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And just so I'm clear, this document that you have here
- 9 isn't on its face one-hundred percent accurate, correct,
- 10 because you didn't in fact loan the item out to Jim George, you
- 11 gave it to law enforcement?
- 12 A. True.
- 13 Q. And is there something that prevents you from typing in
- 14 here item given to law enforcement?
- 15 A. This was our old software -- I probably made a note that
- 16 it was given to law enforcement. But I don't believe I could
- 17 have typed it anywhere in here, that I physically typed it into
- 18 that software.
- 19 Q. And do you agree with me that the purpose of keeping

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 records like this is so you can know many years into the past
- 21 what it is that you actually did with the computer, correct?
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. And this record is not accurate?
- 24 A. I would say that the record is accurate. I mean I know
- 25 where that computer went, it's my store.

- 1 Q. Well, it didn't go to Jim George, though, on September 3,
- 2 2004?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 MR. TRABOLD: Okay. Nothing further.
- 5 THE COURT: Anything else of this gentleman?
- 6 MR. PATTON: Yes, your Honor.
- 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. PATTON:
- 9 Q. When you guys were contacted from law enforcement, years
- 10 hadn't gone by between the time George had returned that
- 11 computer to you and the time law enforcement contacts you, is
- 12 that correct?
- 13 A. Correct.

- 14 Q. It was less than two weeks?
- 15 A. The item was terminated on the 20th of August of 2004.
- 16 And loaned to Jim George on 3rd of September of 2004, so it was
- 17 within two weeks.
- 18 Q. And at that point in time is there any question in your
- 19 mind that you had given law enforcement the correct computer?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. At this point in time is there any doubt in your mind
- 22 that you gave law enforcement the correct computer?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. You would have told that to law enforcement if they would
- 25 have asked you those questions?

- 1 A. Yes, I would have.
- 2 MR. PATTON: That's all.
- 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Culhane, you're excused,
- 4 sir. All right, we're in recess until 1 o'clock.
- 5 (Luncheon recess from 12:10 p.m.; until 1:10 p.m.)
- 6 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Patton.
- 7 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, we'll call Mr. Will Nieder,

- 9 THE COURT: Would you spell your name for my court
- 10 reporter?
- 11 THE WITNESS: My name is William G. Nieder,
- 12 N-i-e-d-e-r, Jr.
- 13 WILLIAM NIEDER, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
- 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. PATTON:
- 16 Q. Sir, do you pronounce your last name Nieder?
- 17 A. Nieder.
- 18 Q. And, Mr. Nieder, where do you work?
- 19 A. House of Television.
- 20 Q. What does that particular business do?
- 21 A. We are a rent to own business with 12 locations.
- THE COURT: Keep your voice up, please.
- 23 BY MR. PATTON:
- 24 Q. What location do you work at?
- 25 A. I work at the corporate office.

1 Q. And what do you do there?

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 2 A. I am the IT manager, I work on computers and take care of
- 3 all computer systems for the company.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with the steps your business takes when
- 5 computers are returned from rental to prepare those computers
- 6 for re-rental?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What do you guys do to those computers?
- 9 A. When we get the computer back in, the store manager or
- 10 whoever is in the store, is instructed to do a format and
- 11 restore.
- 12 Q. A format and restore?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Would you explain what a format and restore is?
- 15 A. Formatting is the cleaning off the drive and restoring it
- 16 back to factory settings just like the computer was when it was
- 17 purchased.
- 18 Q. When you guys do the reformatting and restoring, does
- 19 that take all the information that's on the hard drive of that
- 20 computer when it's brought back to your store and physically
- 21 remove all of that information from the hard drive?
- 22 A. It does remove it but it doesn't completely delete it.
- 23 Q. Is it accurate to say that the next person who rents the

- 24 computer wouldn't be able to access that?
- 25 A. No, they would not.

- 1 Q. Is it possible to access some of that information using
- 2 forensic software programs?
- 3 A. Programs and such, yes.
- 4 Q. Does your business use programs that actually completely
- 5 wipe hard drives such that no information that was on the hard
- 6 drive at the time that the computer was returned --
- 7 A. No, it's writing one and zeros to the drive and we don't
- 8 do that.
- 9 Q. Were you ever contacted by Detective Jessica Lynn or
- 10 anyone from Erie County law enforcement to ask you questions
- 11 about the processes your business uses in preparing the
- 12 computers for re-rental?
- 13 A. Just Mr. Townley.
- 14 Q. A computer was taken from your store in Corry pursuant to
- 15 a search warrant, I want to ask you about that computer. Do
- 16 your records indicate that some service was done to that
- 17 computer while Mr. Eberle had the computer?

- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What was done?
- 20 A. We replaced a CD drive, the CD burner and reloaded the
- 21 software.
- 22 Q. When was that done?
- 23 A. 1/28/04.
- 24 Q. So when you say replaced the CD drive, you mean you're
- 25 physically taking the physical CD drive that you actually place

- 1 a CD into and putting a new one in, is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And then you have to ask the appropriate software to run
- 4 that particular CD?
- 5 A. Right, we add the driver and also the software for
- 6 burning CD's.
- 7 Q. When you add that software to the operating system so you
- 8 can run the CD drive, would that overwrite like any pictures
- 9 that Mr. Eberle would have saved onto his hard drive?
- 10 A. No, it's just a driver to make the device run.
- 11 Q. So if Mr. Eberle had had pictures saved onto his hard

- 12 drive, placing this new software on it would not have any
- 13 impact on those pictures?
- 14 A. No.
- MR. PATTON: Those are my questions, your Honor.
- MR. HADLEY: Nothing, your Honor, thank you.
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 19 Q. Sir, is it accurate to say you did not actually do the
- 20 format and restore on the Eberle's computer in this case?
- 21 A. That's correct, it was done in the store.
- 22 Q. And that's just random procedure, you don't do the
- 23 formatting and restores for any of the computers, that's
- 24 something done by individual store employees?
- 25 A. Most of the time. If they come in for service, then I'm

- 1 required to restore them before they go back. Only if they're
- 2 in for service.
- 3 Q. And the goal, obviously, of the format and restore is to
- 4 have renter B not be able to access anything that renter A had
- 5 on the computer, it's to give the new renter a clean slate?

- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you would agree with me, though, by virtue of being
- 8 an IT person, that computers, like most other consumer goods,
- 9 can be somewhat temperamental; I mean you can get one computer
- 10 hard drive for one computer generally that can operate like a
- 11 charm and another one might be just a piece of junk or a lemon?
- 12 A. Sure.
- 13 Q. That's just like cars, you could get the same model and
- 14 make of cars, one would be great, another one would be a piece
- 15 of junk?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That definitely holds true for computers?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. TRABOLD: Nothing further, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Anything else?
- MR. HADLEY: I just have one question, your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right, go ahead.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. HADLEY:
- 25 Q. Sir, do you guys ever provide any of your stores with

- software to do a secure erase of a hard drive?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 MR. HADLEY: Thank you.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
- 5 MR. PATTON: Could Mr. Culhane and Mr. Nieder be
- excused? 6
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, they sure are, thank you.
- 8 MR. PATTON: We call Mark Ganley.
- 9 THE COURT: Before you get all the way up here, is
- this the gentleman who's testifying pursuant to your offer of 10
- proof? 11
- 12 MR. PATTON: Yes.
- THE COURT: This is one example where I'm dense, I 13
- didn't get it the first time, maybe more than one, would you
- run that by me one more time, Mr. Patton, before we get into
- 16 this?
- 17 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, my intention is to show you
- the volume of data that is still on the hard drives from a 18
- computer at the House of Television after that computer has
- 20 been returned by a renter, has been reformatted and restored

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 162 of 235

- 21 and offered up for rental. To give you an idea of how much
- 22 data is on there, to then support our argument that if you
- 23 searched one of these hard drives for particular images, didn't
- 24 find them, in fact, did not find any child pornography, that it
- 25 is not reasonable to conclude that you have the wrong computer.

- 1 And, also, to quite frankly cast doubt on Detective Lynn's
- 2 testimony that she looked or looked very hard for evidence
- 3 forensically linking the computer to the evidence.
- 4 THE COURT: All right, go ahead. Sir, spell your
- 5 name for my court reporter?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Last name Ganley, G-a-n-l-e-y. First
- 7 name is Mark, M-a-r-k.
- 8 MARK GANLEY, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. PATTON:
- 11 Q. Mr. Ganley, where do you work?
- 12 A. I work for the Federal Public Defender's office in
- 13 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- 14 Q. What do you do for the Federal Defender's?

- 15 A. My title is network, I'm computer network administrator.
- 16 And my job is to purchase the computers, maintain them, install

Document 95

- 17 software, design databases for people who need them. And also
- 18 in my work I'm called upon to do forensic examinations on
- 19 occasion.
- 20 Q. With the proliferation of child pornography cases and
- 21 computer based child pornography cases, have you received some
- 22 training from the office for doing the forensic examination of
- 23 hard drives?
- 24 A. Yes, I have. I undertook a class from the Internet
- 25 crimes group that the agent mentioned, in 2001. There was 24

- 1 hours worth of training. And I spent three days at a Forensic
- 2 Tool Kit boot camp for doing forensic examinations on
- 3 computers.
- 4 Q. Have you had other training related to the general
- 5 operations of computers with regard to the work you do on a
- 6 day-to-day basis maintaining computers and computer networks?
- 7 A. Certainly. I've attended college courses at Penn State
- 8 University and the local community college in Allegheny County,

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/20 and I've got a degree from the Computer Technical Institute
- 10 from 1987.
- 11 Q. Does your daily work require you to work on computers and
- 12 help users be able to use computers effectively?
- 13 A. Yes, that's primarily my job.
- 14 Q. Now, to be fair, is it accurate to say forensic
- 15 examinations of computers is not the main part of your
- 16 employment?
- 17 A. No, it's not.
- 18 Q. How many computer drives have you actually forensically
- 19 examined?
- 20 A. I've examined two drives that were child pornography
- 21 cases, where we actually obtained the drive and could do an
- 22 exam. And I've probably done another dozen that were not
- 23 pornography or child porn related.
- 24 Q. With regards to forensic exams of hard drives dealing
- 25 with child pornography, you said there are only two of them

- 1 you've done where you physically have the hard drive?
- 2 A. That's correct.

- 4 Pittsburgh to review hard drives there under basically the
- 5 terms of discovery that had been set by the court?
- 6 A. Yes, I have, I visited the FBI. I've also worked with
- 7 the Postal Inspectors, and I visited the state police barracks
- 8 in Indiana and in Butler and in Beaver for various cases.
- 9 Q. And during those visits have you engaged in different
- 10 aspects of forensically examining computers?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Are you able to run Forensic Tool Kit?
- 13 A. Yes, I am.
- 14 Q. Do we own Forensic Tool Kit?
- 15 A. Yes, we do.
- 16 Q. In September of last year did I ask you to use Forensic
- 17 Tool Kit to evaluate the hard drive of a computer rented from
- 18 the House of Television?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Could you explain to the judge what you did to examine
- 21 that hard drive?
- 22 A. Certainly. I drove to Erie to pick up the drive. I took
- 23 the drive out of the rented computer, brought it back to
- 24 Pittsburgh. I acquired the drive using forensic software and

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 166 of 235

25 put it on a forensic computer and did the examination, just as

- 1 the agent would have done.
- 2 Q. When you say you acquired the drive, is it accurate to
- 3 say you want to keep the drive that's in question in tact, not
- 4 change anything on it?
- 5 A. That's correct. So you have to use a special process
- 6 where you write protect the drive so that nothing changes in
- 7 the process of creating the image. Then you always work from
- 8 the image so you never touch the original drive.
- 9 Q. When you acquire it, then you create what is sometimes
- 10 referred to as a mirror image of the questioned drive, that's
- 11 the drive that you actually run the Forensic Tool kit on and do
- 12 your searching on?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. After you acquired this particular drive, what did you
- 15 do?
- 16 A. Well, the first thing I did, there are some options that
- 17 you can set when you acquire the drive, in terms of finding
- 18 carved data. I wanted to first acquire the drive and see what

- 19 was on the partition that came from the House of Television.
- 20 And after I did that, I verified that none of the files had
- 21 changed from the time we had picked up the drive until when I
- 22 had done the exam. After that I used the Forensic Tool Kit
- 23 data's carving capabilities and I carved for JPEGs, HTML web
- 24 pages, word documents --
- 25 THE COURT: You got to tell me what that means

- 1 again, I heard it, I forgot, tell me what that means?
- THE WITNESS: Typically, on a hard drive all of the
- 3 files are referenced in a table of contents on the drive, it
- 4 says here's where the file is. Well, when you delete a file,
- 5 it removes it from the table of contents. But the file is
- 6 still on the drive, it doesn't actually go and remove the file.
- 7 So when you carve data, what you do is look in this unallocated
- 8 space that's considered to be empty space, and the data carving
- 9 looks for patterns that indicate a certain kind of file. JPEGs
- 10 will have a certain pattern at the beginning of a file. Web
- 11 pages will have a certain pattern. And it carves the data,
- 12 basically, from the empty space. Okay.

- 13 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 15 BY MR. PATTON:
- 16 Q. After you carved the data -- let me ask you, were you
- 17 able to actually carve any data from the unallocated space on
- 18 this drive?
- 19 A. Yes, actually I was able to carve 130,000 files.
- 20 Q. So these are files that are in the unallocated space on
- 21 the hard drive?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. But the data in those files is still recoverable using
- 24 the data carvings?
- 25 A. Using Forensic Tool Kit certainly.

- 1 Q. Can you give a breakdown of those different files?
- 2 A. Yes, certainly I can. Of the files that I found that
- 3 were unallocated from previous users, there were four AOL buddy
- 4 lists. There were 16,138 byte MAP files, which are a type of
- 5 image. There were 20 EMF files, which are kind of a windows
- 6 file that we were able to find. There were 32,773 JIF files,

- 7 which are another kind of Internet image. There were 18,904
- 8 web pages, HTML files. 62,621 JPEGs, which we've discussed
- 9 before. And 176 Adobe Acrobat files.
- 10 Q. Now, does Forensic Tool Kit allow you to -- let's focus
- 11 on the JPEG files, does it allow you to view the JPEG files
- 12 that the program has carved out in unallocated space?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.
- 14 Q. When you're using Forensic Tool Kit, does the program
- 15 itself keep a record of all the different things you're doing
- 16 as you're doing it?
- 17 A. Yes, actually Forensic Tool Kit, from the moment you
- 18 start it, keeps a log file beginning with when you begin to
- 19 acquire it and every so many seconds it goes back and adds to
- 20 the log file.
- 21 Q. Does the log file -- would a review of the log file give
- 22 you an indication of what the forensic examiner has asked
- 23 Forensic Tool Kit to do and the results?
- 24 A. Yes, it would.
- 25 Q. Is that automatically generated by Forensic Tool Kit?

- 1 A. I believe it's by default turned on, yes, I think you
- 2 have to turn it off in order to not log.
- 3 Q. If you want to, can you print that log out to preserve a
- 4 step by step?
- 5 A. You could print it out or you could save it somewhere,
- 6 yes.
- 7 Q. Now, does Forensic Tool Kit also have built into it
- 8 processes that allows you or allow the program to write up what
- 9 I would call reports for your use as a forensic examiner?
- 10 A. Yes, it does. Typically, as the agent mentioned, you
- 11 flag certain files and say bookmark them and then you say
- 12 generate a report at the end. It takes about two minutes.
- 13 Q. Could you show the judge what one of those reports would
- 14 look like?
- 15 A. Certainly. Your Honor, if you'll push the button for the
- 16 judge. It generates the report in HTML format; in other words,
- 17 it generates it as a web page. So you can browse through the
- 18 list of files and preview them.
- 19 Q. Does the user have to put in the case number, case
- 20 location, case description, things of that nature?
- 21 A. Yes, when you start Forensic Tool Kit, you put that

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 22 information in. You say begin a new case and it will ask you
- 23 what's the name of the case, what's the case number, where do
- 24 you want to save this file.
- 25 Q. Did you create a report that contains some of the JPEG

- 1 files that you found on the hard drive?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. Could you show us that?
- 4 A. Certainly. Okay, this is the report, and this is not all
- 5 of the JPEGs that were found, these are just the ones I flagged
- 6 and saved these.
- 7 Q. So you put in the report you have to, when you're
- 8 reviewing the JPEGs that you carved, you have to somehow --
- 9 A. Just check a box.
- 10 Q. Check a box, and then when you click the button that says
- 11 create a report --
- 12 A. You would check the box and then you would click the
- 13 button that says bookmark these items and give it a name, and
- 14 then it is automatically included in the report.
- 15 Q. And just, for example, the first item that's on the

- 16 screen there --
- 17 A. Certainly, that's a thumbnail of the actual document if I
- 18 click on the link next to it. This takes a few moments, that's
- 19 the actual file.
- 20 Q. This is an icon for Dell computers?
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. If you click that, if you scroll that, that has
- 23 information on where on the hard drive that was found?
- 24 A. That's correct. If you'll notice it mentions that it's
- 25 drive free space. So it assigns that name because it found the

- 1 file, it doesn't know the file's name or the date or time it
- 2 was accessed.
- 3 Q. Mr. Ganley, in addition to just looking for JPEGs and
- 4 HTML files, did you take steps to try and see if you could
- 5 identify prior users of the computer?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Is that something you can just ask Forensic Tool Kit to
- 8 do or do you have to try and come up with some strategies to
- 9 try and come up with that information?

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Well, kind of both. You can certainly do text searches
- for particular names. But you can also look at the files you 11
- carved and try to discern what e-mails addresses were used on 12
- those pages, what log in or account names are on those images. 13
- And then research those account names in order to find who the
- 15 people are.
- Q. Were you able to identify some of the prior users of
- 17 this?
- A. Yes, actually I found three prior users and some 18
- additional log-in names for people that I didn't find who they 19
- actually were. 20
- How did you do that? 21 Q.
- 22 Well, I searched through the web pages and I found some
- Yahoo profiles. I found some information that -- documents
- that they left there. One gentleman actually left a scanned
- 25 copy of his driver's license on his drive.

- How far back in time were you able to go? 1 Q.
- 2 I actually have found some files that are web pages that
- were dated 17 months prior to us renting the computer.

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 4 Q. Were you able to find e-mails or chats?
- 5 A. Yes, I was.
- 6 Q. How were you able to locate those?
- 7 A. Most of those were done, if people use Yahoo mail or AOL
- 8 mail, typically those are web pages, so they were carved out
- 9 with the HTML data.
- 10 Q. Now, to locate the prior users, did that take some effort
- 11 on your part to seek out that information?
- 12 A. Yes, it did. I had to browse through the web pages and
- 13 look for information and then do a little more research to
- 14 locate the people.
- 15 Q. This wasn't something you could determine just from going
- 16 through and looking at, for example, the JPEGs that got carved
- 17 out?
- 18 A. No, not at all.
- 19 Q. For example, if you scroll through the HTML sites that
- 20 you had carved out, to look for any traces of information
- 21 there?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. That would take some additional effort on the part of the
- 24 examiner, is that correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. When a computer is restored, when the operating system is
- 2 restored --
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Does that actually rewrite the operating system, like say
- 5 if you're running Windows XP, does that physically now write
- 6 Windows XP again on the hard drive?
- 7 A. Are you speaking in particular with these computers?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. With these computers what I found was that there are
- 10 actually two partitions on the hard drive. One partition is
- 11 the image that they use to restore and then the other partition
- 12 is what the users actually see. So I think this would come
- 13 back to the House of Television, they would run the program and
- 14 it would take the first partition and just dump it on top of
- 15 the second one, essentially.
- 16 Q. A certain amount of the hard drive is going to be taken
- 17 up with the files accompanying the operating system, is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.

- 20 Q. When you restore the image, are those files going to be
- 21 written back on pretty much the same place on the hard drive?
- 22 A. Yes, they will. Because you always start on a hard drive
- 23 on an area called the boot sector, which is where windows,
- 24 where the hard drive has to look to start windows. So it will
- 25 start from there and add that data right back over top, for the

- 1 most part, of where originally it was.
- 2 Q. So assume that a person had a computer, used it for
- 3 accessing the Internet, word processing, whatever, and then you
- 4 restored this image on it?
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Is restoring the image going to write over the top of
- 7 these other images that are on the computer, like say pictures
- 8 or documents or things like that?
- 9 A. Generally not, because before the person started working
- 10 on the computer that image was there. So when they create a
- 11 new file, it would had to have been put on another part of the
- 12 drive.
- 13 Q. When images get -- say if you wanted to save a series of

- 14 images to a hard drive?
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. And say that you find the images or take the images with
- 17 a web cam and put them on your hard drive and save them on your
- 18 hard drive --
- 19 A. All right.
- 20 Q. Will those images be necessarily stored sequentially on
- 21 the hard drive one after the other?
- 22 A. No, not necessarily. Because what happens is the windows
- 23 looks for, the operating system looks for whatever space is
- 24 open. And in fact, a file, one file may actually be located,
- 25 pieces of it in three different locations on the drive. It's

- 1 called defragmenting your drive. You typically want to go back
- 2 at some point and bring those files together. But the first
- 3 time you write that file it may be put in three different
- 4 locations.
- 5 Q. Even if you defragment the drive and bring that one file
- 6 that's in three locations back into the same location, it's not
- 7 necessarily going to take the second image and put it right

- 8 next to the first image on the hard drive?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. So these images, say even if you have images saved in My
- 11 Photos folder on windows --
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. So when you open the folder, you see thumbnails of all
- 14 those images -- are those images stored sequentially in the
- 15 same place on the hard drive?
- 16 A. No, there is no rhyme or reason as to why they would.
- 17 Q. They can be anywhere on the hard drive?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So if one of the images was deleted, then overwritten in
- 20 the unallocated space, it doesn't necessarily mean that the
- 21 other ones are going to be overwritten?
- 22 A. That would be correct.
- 23 Q. In your opinion, if I stored 13 different digital images
- 24 onto my hard drive, and then say it was a rented computer,
- 25 returned the computer, the operating system gets restored and

1 the computer is re-rented, it's used for nine days by the new

- 2 person --
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. In your opinion, is it likely that all of those 13 images
- 5 that are in unallocated space will be overwritten in a nine-day
- 6 period?
- 7 A. No, I wouldn't expect them to be, no.
- 8 Q. If you ran Forensic Tool Kit to look for those photos --
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. And you carved all the data, searched for the photos,
- 11 searched for the images, I guess I should say, and you didn't
- 12 find them anywhere or even a portion of them and, again, this
- 13 is assuming that you are using the computer that's been
- 14 returned and re-rented for nine days, what conclusion would be
- 15 drawn as to whether or not those images had ever been on the
- 16 hard drive?
- 17 A. Well, my first conclusion would be that the images had
- 18 never been there. That those files never existed on that
- 19 computer.
- 20 Q. Forensically examining a computer, if you develop some
- 21 question as to whether or not you had the right computer, would
- 22 you take steps to try and resolve those questions in your mind?

- 23 A. Certainly I would. And certainly a phone call to the
- 24 rental company would be the first thing I would do. But I
- 25 would also look at all of the account names that I could find.

- 1 Maybe they didn't match the person I thought it was. Most
- 2 people have more than one e-mail address these days. People
- 3 change their e-mail addresses. People's friends, e-mail, the
- 4 buddy lists that are on the computer, you ought to be able to
- 5 figure out who those people are by going to AOL and saying who
- 6 are these people and maybe you can connect them by connecting
- 7 their friends.
- 8 Q. So even if the name, even if you search through the
- 9 carved data for the first name or the last name of the person
- 10 you thought had rented the computer and didn't find their first
- 11 or last name, would that lead you to conclude forensically
- 12 there is no way you can establish these people had ever had
- 13 possession of the computer?
- 14 A. I mean that certainly wouldn't be enough to establish
- 15 that I had the wrong computer or that I couldn't connect them,
- 16 that would not be enough.

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 MR. PATTON: Those are my questions, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Hadley.
- MR. HADLEY: Couple questions, your Honor.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. HADLEY:
- 22 Q. Mr. Ganley, Attorney Michael Hadley.
- 23 A. Hi.
- 24 Q. You told us about your experience and training in how to
- 25 conduct a forensic examination. I just want to ask you to

- 1 precisely in conducting a forensic examination of a hard drive,
- 2 how important is it to be sure you have the right hard drive?
- 3 A. I think it would be the most important thing to do would
- 4 be to make sure you have the right hard drive. I mean, you
- 5 waste an awful lot of time, it takes over a day to acquire the
- 6 drive. And why would you waste all that time if you didn't
- 7 have the right one to begin with.
- 8 Q. In your professional opinion, would the failure to find
- 9 the type of images for which you were searching, would that
- 10 lead you to conclude that you had the wrong hard drive?

- 11 A. That would not be the first thing I would conclude, no.
- 12 Q. If in fact you did come to the conclusion that you were
- 13 sure you had examined the wrong hard drive, in your
- 14 professional opinion what should a forensic examiner do?
- 15 A. Well, I think a forensic examiner would at least go back
- 16 and verify that with the House of Television and try to locate
- 17 the actual drive. And, in addition, I guess my first reaction
- 18 would be the whole basis of this is that people who collect
- 19 child pornography save it in other places. I would be going
- 20 back with a search warrant for the house of the defendant to
- 21 see if he kept copies on CD's or a USB drive or a floppy drive.
- 22 Q. Mr. Ganley, you were present in the courtroom when
- 23 Detective Lynn testified, correct?
- 24 A. Yes, I was.
- 25 Q. You heard her testimony?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And you were here when a Mr. Culhane, the manager of the
- 3 House of Television testified?
- 4 A. Yes, I was.

- 5 Q. In your professional opinion, did Detective Lynn have
- 6 enough information to conclude she had the wrong computer?
- 7 A. Well, not knowing because there was no log report, there
- 8 was no Forensic Tool Kit report, based on her testimony I would
- 9 say no.
- MR. HADLEY: Thank you, no further questions, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: Mr. Trabold.
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 14 Q. Sir, how many child pornography investigations have you
- 15 conducted?
- 16 A. How many drives have I examined?
- 17 Q. No, how many child pornography investigations have you
- 18 conducted?
- 19 A. Well, I guess I'm not sure I understand --
- 20 Q. My point is you're not a law enforcement officer,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. No, I'm not.
- 23 Q. You're the Public Defender's IT person?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. So you're not really qualified to comment on what

- 1 steps a law enforcement officer should take with regard to a
- 2 law enforcement investigation?
- 3 A. No, I would agree with you that that's the case.
- 4 Q. You would agree with me, like the last witness agreed
- 5 with me, that different hard drives may have different
- 6 qualities, in the sense that one hard drive may have some
- 7 defects that another hard drive may not have?
- 8 A. Actually, I would disagree with that, and I'll tell you
- 9 why. Hard drives are manufactured in sterile conditions
- 10 because these things actually have billions and billions of
- 11 bytes of data they have to move at speeds of 7,200 rpm's. The
- 12 quality control on hard drives is so strenuous, that I don't
- 13 agree with him.
- 14 Q. Are you saying, then, as you maintain the computers for
- 15 the Public Defender's office that you have never encountered a
- 16 computer that, for whatever reason, you just have to get rid of
- 17 because it doesn't work very well or might have problems?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You've never encountered that situation?
- 20 A. No.

- 21 Q. Every computer you dealt with in the course of your
- 22 computer career has functioned in a way it was supposed to
- 23 function?
- 24 A. First of all, computers are component based, which means
- 25 when the hard drive fails, you get a new one. If the network

- 1 part of it fails, you get a new one. I never had a computer
- 2 that just was flaky and I had to throw it away.
- 3 Q. Well, my point is you have encountered hard drives that
- 4 don't perform in a way they're supposed to perform and you had
- 5 to get a new one?
- 6 A. Over time, yes. Certainly everything breaks. But if
- 7 you're saying hard drives are kind of flaky or weird or they're
- 8 not the same, all hard drives are essentially operated at very
- 9 strict tolerances, otherwise they don't get sold. You got a
- 10 hard drive that is spinning around that fast and you have to
- 11 find your file, there are six platters, it's spinning around
- 12 7,200 rpm's and your hard drive has to find it in a matter of
- 13 seconds.
- 14 Q. You didn't do anything to the Eberle's actual computer in

- 15 this case, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. So you have no information to share with the court as to
- 18 what actually went on with the hard drive, that was the hard
- 19 drive we're talking about here today?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And you were here when the detective testified that she
- 22 found information on the hard drive similar to the information
- 23 that you testified you found on the hard drive you dealt with,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. That's correct. But she also didn't say what information

- 1 she found of any users.
- 2 Q. Well, she said she found -- information from users
- 3 unrelated to that -- that she took to be unrelated to the
- 4 Eberles, correct?
- 5 A. No, she said she found no evidence that tied the computer
- 6 to the Eberles.
- 7 Q. But she also testified that she found other information
- 8 that she took to be for other users?

- 9 A. Well, she said that she found files, she didn't just say
- 10 who they were tied to.
- 11 Q. But she said that she didn't think they were from the
- 12 Eberles?
- 13 A. She said she couldn't tie them to the Eberles.
- 14 Q. Which would be information similar to what you found for
- 15 computer users on your computer that you're testifying here
- 16 today about?
- 17 A. Well, except I have been able to tie some files to some
- 18 users. I guess my point is what she didn't say is I found
- 19 evidence of some other user who owned this, so I thought that
- 20 this computer belonged to somebody else. She just said I
- 21 couldn't tie it to the Eberles.
- 22 Q. But she did say that she found information on the
- 23 computer?
- 24 A. But she couldn't say that the Eberles didn't put it
- 25 there.

- 1 Q. Okay. And that has something to do with -- what does
- 2 have to do with anything?

- 3 A. Well, I guess my point is I think if you take the time to
- 4 look at all of the files that are on the drives, you're going
- 5 to find some evidence somewhere of somebody who actually used
- 6 this computer. You're going to find either log-in screens,
- 7 you're going to find e-mail messages, you're going to find
- 8 chats. I found three previous users on this hard drive.
- 9 Q. Yeah, on that hard drive?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. You didn't look at the Eberle's hard drive?
- 12 A. No, but I found three previous users. That means one
- 13 person owned it, returned it to rent a center. Somebody else
- 14 rented it, returned it to rent a center. One person is from
- 15 Corry, PA. One's from Union City. They weren't in the same
- 16 house. They didn't overwrite each other's data.
- MR. TRABOLD: Nothing further your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Anything else?
- 19 MR. PATTON: Yes, your Honor.
- 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. PATTON:
- 22 Q. If Detective Lynn had printed out the Forensic Tool Kit
- 23 log of her examination of the computer she received from the

- 24 House of Television, would you be able to review that and then
- 25 be able to have some knowledge about what in fact was done to

- 1 that drive?
- 2 A. Yes, actually if the Forensic Tool Kit log was printed
- 3 out, you could follow step by step what the examiner did and
- 4 what time.
- 5 Q. And you can't look at the hard drive from the Eberle's
- 6 computer they got from the House of Television because we
- 7 physically don't have it, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. But if Ms. Lynn had printed out the Forensic Tool Kit log
- 10 of her examination of that computer, everybody would be able to
- 11 tell exactly what she did, correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 MR. PATTON: Those are my questions.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you, sir, you're excused. Your
- 15 Honor, I'd call Ian Chisholm.
- MR. TRABOLD: Your Honor, may I have an offer of
- 17 proof?

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/200 MR. PATTON: Mr. Chisholm is a forensic computer

- 19 expert, he is actually the forensic computer expert that we've
- 20 hired. He has reviewed the hard drive of the computer that
- 21 forms the basis of Counts Three and Four. He also reviewed the
- 22 hard drive from the House of Television. He's also going to
- 23 have some testimony regarding reasonable conclusions that can
- 24 be drawn based on findings made during the forensic computer
- 25 examination. And I'll put it to you this way. Before today we

- 1 had no idea what Detective Lynn was going to say she did or
- 2 didn't do to this computer that she searched in September of
- 3 '04. She didn't have any reports from it. I had no idea what
- 4 she was going to say.
- 5 THE COURT: Let me ask the question this way. Tell
- 6 me again, by way of restating your offer of proof, what Mr.
- 7 Chisholm will say that is germane to the issue of the
- 8 sufficiency of the probable cause?
- 9 MR. PATTON: Well, he is going to give testimony
- 10 about what steps you could look at to try and forensically link
- 11 a computer to a particular user. What steps, if a forensic

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 examiner has doubts about whether they're looking at the right
- 13 computer, what steps would then be taken. And what reasonable
- 14 inferences or conclusions could be drawn from a forensic
- 15 examination of computers.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. Sir, spell your name for my
- 17 court reporter?
- THE WITNESS: Last name Chisholm, C-h-i-s-h-o-l-m,
- 19 first name Ian.
- 20 IAN CHISHOLM, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
- 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. PATTON:
- 23 Q. Mr. Chisholm, how are you employed?
- 24 A. I'm self-employed, sir.
- 25 Q. As what?

- 1 A. As a computer forensic expert.
- 2 MR. TRABOLD: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that, I
- 3 apologize.
- 4 THE COURT: Mr. Chisholm, speak into the microphone.
- 5 THE WITNESS: I'm self-employed, computer forensics

- 6 and data recovery. And also Internet investigations.
- 7 THE COURT: Is that an Australian accent?
- 8 THE WITNESS: It's British, sir.
- 9 BY MR. PATTON:
- 10 Q. Could you give the judge a general idea of the type of
- 11 work you do in your business?
- 12 A. It's recovering data off of hard drives or forensically
- 13 examining hard drives for data or evidence.
- 14 Q. Is the data recovery more along the lines of somebody
- 15 calling up and saying oh my God, my computer crashed, help?
- 16 A. They normally say more than that, but yes.
- 17 Q. The forensic evaluation of computers, what experience do
- 18 you have doing that?
- 19 A. Eight years experience. Most of the cases that come to
- 20 court are job related.
- 21 Q. How many different hard drives, roughly, have you
- 22 forensically examined in child pornography cases?
- 23 A. In child pornography, in the hundreds, over a hundred.
- 24 Q. And have you testified in court before as an expert?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

- 1 Q. In what courts have you testified?
- 2 A. Pittsburgh federal court, it was U.S. versus -- I can't
- 3 pronounce the name -- it's an Indian name, I can't pronounce
- 4 it. But there are other cases that I have done. But it hasn't
- 5 gone to trial.
- 6 Q. Did my office FedEx you a hard drive from the House of
- 7 Television?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And did you do a forensic examination of that hard drive?
- 10 A. I did, sir, yes.
- 11 Q. Were you able to recover data from the unallocated space
- 12 of that hard drive?
- 13 A. Yes, I did, sir. And the hard drive that you provided to
- 14 me had been provided to you and not used after you received it.
- 15 Q. Can you give us an idea of the amount of data that you
- 16 were able to recover in the unallocated part of the hard drive?
- 17 A. The recovered files, deleted files that were on the hard
- 18 drive prior to the re-installation of the operating system
- 19 amounted to hundreds of thousands.
- 20 Q. Were you able to develop at least some information to try
- 21 to identify prior users?

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 194 of 235

- 22 A. Oh, yes, many user names and instant messenger chat logs.
- 23 Q. Could you just give an explanation of the steps you take
- 24 when you are trying to identify previous users from information
- 25 taken from or found in unallocated space?

- 1 A. Well, normally I have an idea of a person that I need to
- 2 identify. In this instance I had no clue whatsoever. So I
- 3 carved out HTML web pages, and I searched for such terms as an
- 4 e-mail address. Such as ask Yahoo dot com. And then I would
- 5 examine that to ascertain if it was a user name -- and then I
- 6 would do a search using the user name on the hard drive. And
- 7 that would locate some users. Other users, like e-mail
- 8 addresses, within files which may be e-mail files that were
- 9 recovered, you would have data in their e-mails sent and
- 10 received. Telephone numbers, credit card information.
- 11 Q. If you were trying to identify a prior user, would you
- 12 try and search just using the person's first name as a search
- 13 term?
- 14 A. No, unless it was a very, very rare first name. The name
- 15 George, as an example, or Fred or Smith, you'll get hits in

- 16 dictionaries, you could get hundreds of thousands of hits. So
- 17 you have to isolate your search terms.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Patton, we're going to take a short
- 19 recess.
- 20 (Recess from 1:58 p.m.; until 2:10 p.m.)
- 21 THE COURT: Mr. Patton, before we continue, let me
- 22 ask a question here. To possibly shorten this up somewhat,
- 23 maybe not, it doesn't really matter. If for purposes of
- 24 discussion I were to conclude tentatively that the computer
- 25 that we're talking about that was looked at by the detective

- 1 was in fact Mr. Eberle's computer, does that shorten his
- 2 testimony?
- 3 MR. PATTON: Yes.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's just put it this
- 5 way. I just planted the seed in your mind, you can pursue this
- 6 then as long as you want. But --
- 7 MR. PATTON: If you were going to make a factual
- 8 finding that the computer Detective Lynn --
- 9 THE COURT: Let me put it this way. I haven't sat

- 10 down to write the findings of fact yet, but I'll be quite
- 11 candid. Absent a significant change of heart or new evidence,
- 12 in all likelihood that's a finding that will be made.
- MR. PATTON: I do have some questions to ask based
- 14 on, if I could, with Mr. Chisholm on that issue.
- 15 THE COURT: Take it for what it's worth and gauge
- 16 your time accordingly.
- 17 MR. PATTON: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 BY MR. PATTON:
- 19 Q. Mr. Chisholm, as a forensic examiner, if you are told you
- 20 have Mr. X's hard drive and it is established that the hard
- 21 drive you have is Mr. X's, and you're asked to look for
- 22 identified images, where you have the hash for those images,
- 23 you have the file names for those images and you look for the
- 24 images and despite all your efforts you can't find the images,
- 25 what conclusion do you draw from those facts?

- 1 A. A drive that was used for nine days after, I would say
- 2 they were never there.
- 3 Q. The nine days after, in this case you're aware that the

- 4 computers that the Eberles had used and the one that Detective
- 5 Lynn was looking at had been re-rented and had been used for
- 6 nine days?
- 7 A. I know that, yes.
- 8 Q. So your comment that if it's only been used for nine
- 9 days, then your conclusion would be that those images had never
- 10 been on the hard drive?
- 11 A. That's correct, sir.
- 12 Q. Assume that even though you're told you have Mr. Eberle's
- 13 computer, but despite your best forensic evidence, you cannot
- 14 find anything forensically where you can say okay, I have
- 15 George Eberle logging on to e-mail or I have him chatting, but
- 16 you are told look, this is George Eberle's computer, can you,
- 17 based on your forensic evaluation, say no, I don't have George
- 18 Eberle's computer?
- 19 A. No, I can't.
- 20 Q. As a forensic computer examiner, before you sit down, are
- 21 you able to know how a particular person has used their
- 22 computer?
- 23 A. Before getting the hard drive?
- 24 Q. Right.

- 1 Q. And is it possible that somebody rented a computer and
- 2 either didn't use it or just used it very, very rarely or
- 3 virtually not at all?
- 4 A. That's possible.
- 5 Q. But if you were told I got Eberle's computer and even
- 6 assume you looked hard for evidence to try and link it to the
- 7 Eberles but you couldn't do it, but you knew these images you
- 8 were looking for, hash file names --
- 9 A. Sizes, dates.
- 10 Q. Everything, and you didn't find those images, your
- 11 conclusion would be the images were never on the hard drive?
- 12 A. That's correct, because there's other references upon the
- 13 hard drive to where the files were. You have file names and
- 14 link files and log files. So certainly if I found nothing, I
- 15 would determine nothing was there.
- 16 Q. In the other cases where you've examined hard drives in
- 17 child pornography cases, the ones where you actually found
- 18 child pornography, did you find just one or two images of child

- 19 pornography?
- 20 A. More like hundreds. All filed in folders of specific
- 21 names related to the type of image.
- MR. PATTON: Those are my questions, your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hadley.
- MR. HADLEY: Based on what we heard earlier, I don't
- 25 have any questions.

- 1 THE COURT: All right. Yes, sir.
- 2 MR. TRABOLD: Just briefly, your Honor.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. TRABOLD:
- 5 Q. Sir, how many times have you testified for the
- 6 prosecution or the government?
- 7 A. About three in federal court.
- 8 Q. Federal court did you say?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And that would down in Pittsburgh?
- 11 A. In Pittsburgh, yes.
- 12 Q. So when you testified in federal court in Pittsburgh, did

Page 200 of 235

- Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM you testify, those three times, just so I'm clear, were those
- 14 for the Federal Defender's office or for the United States?
- A. Defender's office. Or separate lawyers, but not for the 15
- 16 government.
- Have you ever been retained by the government to testify
- as a prosecution witness?
- In the UK I had, but certainly not forensic wise. 19
- 20 Q. Just so I'm clear, is it accurate to say that you have a
- lengthy background in -- I'm probably not phrasing this
- correct, but sort of like a Secret Service, the United
- Kingdom's Secret Service version, would that be accurate?
- I did work for the government, sir, yes.
- So much so that you provided protection to members of 25 Q.

- British royal family, things like that?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Subsequent to that you come over to the United States
- 4 and --
- I worked shortly for the foreign commonwealth at various
- embassies and then came here.

- 7 Q. Incidentally, in this case here, what's your expert
- 8 witness fee?
- 9 A. My fee?
- 10 Q. Yes, sir.
- 11 A. I believe it's \$250 per hour.
- 12 Q. How many hours have you billed the government for?
- 13 A. On this particular case?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. I can't recall, to be honest. It's significant.
- 16 Q. And just so the record is clear, you have forensically
- 17 examined the second Eberle computer, but not the first one?
- 18 A. Are you talking Detective Lynn's one?
- 19 Q. Let me see if I can rephrase that so you understand what
- 20 I'm talking about. The one that forms the basis of the charges
- 21 we're here on today where there were actual images of child
- 22 pornography found, you went to the FBI and actually examined
- 23 that computer?
- 24 A. That's correct, sir.
- 25 Q. But the first one where there's a claim nothing was found

- on, you didn't have an opportun
- 2 A. Not at all, sir.
- 3 Q. The House of Television computer?
- 4 A. I have not seen that one.
- 5 Q. And you don't have a basis to dispute the detective's
- 6 factual account that when she looked at that first computer,
- 7 the House of Television computer, for lack of a better word,
- 8 that she didn't find any evidence of child pornography, nor did
- 9 she find any evidence that the computer or any documentation
- 10 linking that computer to the Eberles?
- 11 A. Oh, no, I can't dispute anything that she said.
- MR. TRABOLD: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. PATTON:
- 15 Q. If Detective Lynn had printed out the log sheet that the
- 16 Forensic Tool Kit would have created while she was examining
- 17 the computer back in September of 2004, could you have then
- 18 reviewed that to give an opinion as to the thoroughness and the
- 19 quality of the work she did then?
- 20 A. I could make a determination of that, yes.
- 21 Q. But you can't dispute Detective Lynn because you don't
- 22 have any --

- 23 A. I don't have nothing to refer back to, no.
- MR. PATTON: Those are my questions, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: All right, sir, thank you very much.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
- 2 MR. PATTON: That's the evidence I have to present
- 3 on behalf of Mr. Eberle, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: All right.
- 5 MR. HADLEY: We join in the evidence for Alesha
- 6 Eberle, we nothing in addition, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. Couple of housekeeping
- 8 matters here. Was there some question about these Internet
- 9 chats and how many I'm supposed to get?
- 10 MR. HADLEY: If it please the court, Attorney
- 11 Trabold and I have spoken, since he has the copy machine here,
- 12 agreed if the court hears argument, he'll get them to you
- 13 within a day or so, photocopies.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. That's the testimony, those
- 15 are the exhibits, then, it would be helpful for me, once I get
- 16 this transcript and start reflecting on it, that I have an

- 17 opportunity to read what you have to say by way of brief
- 18 summary. Do you have something that you want to say, Mr.
- 19 Patton?
- 20 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, the entire basis of finding
- 21 probable cause to search the computer in the Eberle's home in
- 22 March of 2005, is the claim that back in September, at the
- 23 latest, September 2, 2001, George Eberle put these images up to
- 24 Yahoo and, therefore, they were on his hard drive. And because
- 25 he's a child pornographer, he'll never destroy these images

- 1 willingly. But it also puts in there that even if he does
- 2 delete them, we can find them through forensic software
- 3 programs. And that even if he gets new computers, these images
- 4 are going to be on the hard drive of every computer he uses
- 5 because before he gets rid of the old computer, he'll save it,
- 6 and then when he gets the new one, he'll actually put it on the
- 7 hard drive of the new one. But, your Honor, if you then get
- 8 one of George's computers, run the forensic software on it, and
- 9 you don't find the pictures, that claim goes out the window.
- 10 And you heard Mr. Ganley and Mr. Chisholm say yeah, the thing

- 11 had been reformatted and was re-rented out, it had been out for
- 12 nine days. And that these images aren't going to all get
- 13 overwritten, if any of them, in nine days. The government
- 14 papers say that it had been re-rented for approximately a
- 15 month, I don't know where that came from. But the evidence you
- 16 had presented to you today was it had been out for nine days.
- 17 And I don't think there's any way any reasonable law
- 18 enforcement officer wouldn't want -- wouldn't realize when
- 19 writing up the affidavit in support of a search warrant for
- 20 March, 2005, that it wouldn't be absolutely crucial to tell the
- 21 magistrate, by the way, we got one of George Eberle's computers
- 22 and looked for these images and they weren't on there.
- THE COURT: In terms of Franks, this is a sin of
- 24 omission?
- 25 MR. PATTON: A material omission.

- 1 THE COURT: Is that what your point is -- let me ask
- 2 you this. Assuming that the detective had included the
- 3 information that a search of the computer failed to reveal any

- Document 95 4 images of child pornography. Assume that was included in the
- affidavit. Also included in the affidavit, though, was it not,
- was information concerning the interview by the victim. And
- this is the crux of this case, I think. This is in my view how
- this thing turns. My question to you is why in your view, even
- with the inclusion of the allegedly material information, why
- in your view doesn't the detailed discussion with the alleged
- 11 victim independently support probable cause?
- 12 MR. PATTON: Because --
- 13 THE COURT: To me that is the issue in the case.
- MR. PATTON: Because the only way her testimony 14
- relates to searching George Eberle's computer in March of 2005
- is by Lynn taking that information and saying okay, these
- images were on the hard drive of the computer. But they're 17
- saying this happened back in 2001, and we're in 2005. Well, 18
- let me take that back, actually in the affidavit I believe they 19
- 20 say 2002. Even though at that point Detective Lynn has the
- information from Yahoo saying that these images got put onto
- 22 the Internet --
- 23 THE COURT: Apparently was an error.
- 24 MR. PATTON: It's an error, but it's an important
- error because it makes a year difference and she got the

- 1 information from Yahoo that says they got put up there on
- 2 September 2, 2001.
- 3 THE COURT: Back to the original question.
- 4 MR. PATTON: The idea that there is probable cause
- 5 to search the hard drive of the computer is these images were
- 6 on the hard drive, were on the computer because George and
- 7 Alesha showed the images to the victim on the computer screen.
- 8 And once they're on the hard drive, they're going to stay on,
- 9 even though this was three-and-a-half years ago and even if
- 10 he's gotten a new computer, don't worry about it because he's
- 11 not going to get rid of it because it's child porn, and even if
- 12 he got new computers, he's going to save it before he gets rid
- 13 of the old one and put it on the new one. That's the only
- 14 evidence supporting the search of the hard drive. I think it's
- 15 important for you to distinguish between getting a search
- 16 warrant to search the residence of the house or CD's or drives
- 17 or something like that, or maybe they printed them out and so
- 18 they're in paper form. I don't object to any of the search of
- 19 anything else in the house other than the hard drive. But to

- 20 get probable cause to search the hard drive of the computer, it
- 21 is once George has it on the hard drive of the one computer,
- 22 it's going to be on the hard drive of every computer he then
- 23 gets because he's going to take it off the old one and put it
- 24 on the new one. He gets rid of that one, saves it, puts it on
- 25 the new one.

- 1 THE COURT: Sequentially you mean?
- 2 MR. PATTON: Yes. Apparently to infinity. If that
- 3 is true, those images would have been on the hard drive of the
- 4 House of Television computer. Detective Lynn knew or should
- 5 have known that those images were not on the House of
- 6 Television computer, therefore, it would not be reasonable to
- 7 believe that they were on the hard drive of the computer he got
- 8 in March of 2005.
- 9 THE COURT: Should the detective then have
- 10 concluded -- tell me if this is where this logically goes. Is
- 11 the end game of this the detective, based upon her failure to
- 12 have found pornography, should have concluded, after her
- 13 interview with this victim, that the victim was not telling the

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM

14 truth?

- MR. PATTON: No, she can believe the victim. But,
- 16 judge, the victim's talking about something that happened,
- 17 depending on what date you use, two-and-a-half or
- 18 three-and-a-half years ago. Now, the government wants to get
- 19 over that staleness problem by using this daisy chain argument
- 20 that every computer George is going to get is going to have
- 21 this on the hard drive. That's the only way they get over the
- 22 staleness problem. And importantly, also, with the search of
- 23 the computer from House of Television, not only are the Yahoo
- 24 images not found, there is absolutely no child pornography
- 25 whatsoever on George Eberle's computer. This is a computer

- 1 that he's had for eight months. So there is no good-faith
- 2 basis to then say George Eberle is a child pornographer who
- 3 stores his child porn on his computer hard drive. I mean, even
- 4 if you believe the victim that if you took these web pictures
- 5 of me two-and-a-half or three-and-a-half years ago, that
- 6 doesn't give you probable cause to search his computer in March
- 7 of 2005. Unless you buy the argument of, well, you're going to

- 8 keep it forever and move if from computer to computer to
- 9 computer. But if you know that that argument is not true, then
- 10 the probable cause for searching the computer is gone. And you
- 11 do not have to conclude that the victim is not telling the
- 12 truth.
- THE COURT: Tell me about the severance question?
- MR. PATTON: Judge, the severance issue in this case
- 15 is really important because this is wonderfully ironic after
- 16 Detective Lynn's testimony that child pornographers never get
- 17 rid of their child porn and they always keep it. The only
- 18 child pornography found on the computer taken in March of 2005
- 19 was all deleted. And except for three images, all of it was in
- 20 unallocated space. And it's in unallocated space, so the
- 21 government, at least as far as I'm aware, the government has no
- 22 evidence to be able to say who was using the computer at the
- 23 time that these images were written on the hard drive. And
- 24 it's going to come down to either somebody else was using it or
- 25 George -- the defense it's not me, it's either someone else or

1 my wife or someone else. Her defense is going to be it's him.

- 2 THE COURT: It's all that it could be, other than --
- 3 MR. PATTON: It could be a third person. They're
- 4 just absolutely mutually antagonistic. You now have these
- 5 images, these chat things coming in that are all based on
- 6 Alesha, not on George. And so I would submit that to avoid
- 7 unfair prejudice to George, that the trials should be severed.
- 8 THE COURT: All right, thank you.
- 9 MR. PATTON: Judge, I just want to distinguish the
- 10 one case the government cites in support of its argument, it
- 11 had to do with a drug sniffing dog and saying that, well, the
- 12 dog had sniffed on a suitcase and had not alerted and didn't
- 13 include that fact in their search warrant application --
- 14 THE COURT: Back on the suppression issue?
- MR. PATTON: Yes. The Third Circuit said, well, it
- 16 was okay he didn't say it because if you want to put the fact
- 17 in that they didn't alert, you would also put in the fact,
- 18 well, you know, people can mask the smell of the drugs. Well,
- 19 in this case part of what Detective Lynn is trying to convince
- 20 the court, after this long period of time, with the forensic
- 21 software stuff we can find the deleted stuff. And when she
- went and got the computer at the House of Television, she knew

- 23 it had been re-rented, she knew it had been reformatted, but
- 24 she still was like, hey, I can use my forensic software stuff
- 25 to find what's been now deleted. And in fact did find a lot of

- 1 stuff. So this case that the government cites has nothing to
- 2 do with this, because Detective Lynn can't say, well, if it's
- 3 been deleted, I can't find it. The whole point of getting the
- 4 House of Television warrant was even though it's been deleted,
- 5 I can get it. So that case just has no relevance.
- 6 THE COURT: Thank, you Mr. Patton. All right, Mr.
- 7 Hadley.
- 8 MR. HADLEY: If it please the court, on the search
- 9 warrant, just briefly, I believe Mr. Patton went through it
- 10 thoroughly. But to my mind, I think the easiest way to vision
- 11 this thing is if Tiffany had said to the police in 2005, if she
- 12 had not said I was sexually assaulted and pictures were taken,
- 13 but instead had said in either 2001 or 2002, I had seen George
- 14 and Alesha smoke marijuana, I think we'd all agree that in '05
- 15 there's not probable cause to go back and get a search warrant
- 16 based on what she may have seen in '01 or '02. So the

- 17 government attempts to breach the staleness in the absence of
- 18 probable cause because of the staleness by saying this is some
- 19 kind of unique crime, this is different than anything else.
- 20 Which three-and-a-half years later no reasonable person would
- 21 suspect evidence to be in a place where the government armed
- 22 with a warrant would go in three-and-a-half years later. They
- 23 try and get over that by laying out the uniqueness of this
- 24 crime in their opinion except the evidence that Detective Lynn
- 25 had is this connection or daisy chain, as Mr. Patton called it,

- 1 doesn't exist. The trail runs dry in September of '04, and
- 2 they're done, it's got to end right there. Especially when
- 3 you're trying to overcome staleness to begin with. So you
- 4 create a special category. But that special category of sex
- 5 offenses falls apart. So it needs to be in there. And no
- 6 magistrate, no one would form probable cause if the omitted
- 7 material had been there because you would have concluded that
- 8 the trails run dry, he's not keeping it, they're not keeping
- 9 it, it's stale, we're not going to execute a search warrant.
- Judge, on the severance issue. You know, obviously,

- 11 the defendants are going to be pointing fingers at each other.
- 12 We're going to say it's George, they're going to say it's her
- 13 or a third party. There's some --
- 14 THE COURT: You don't have to commit to this and I'm
- 15 not even sure -- well, it could be germane. But have you made
- 16 a decision at this point in time as to whether your client is
- 17 going to testify?
- MR. HADLEY: I haven't made that decision, that's
- 19 one of those game-day decisions, your Honor, you just play it
- 20 by ear. I can't tell you one way or the other. Obviously,
- 21 with Counts One and Two out, it does make it a little
- 22 different. But you're going to have them pointing the finger
- 23 at each other.
- 24 THE COURT: On the severance issue, though, as laid
- 25 out in Mr. Patton's brief, he's feeling that his client's, that

- 1 the ox of his client is being gored more than yours because of
- 2 your client's Internet chats, isn't that right?
- 3 MR. HADLEY: If you let those in and I'll argue that
- 4 in a moment, if I may, yeah, I think that's his position. And

- 5 I'm indifferent, you want to sever them and need to sever them,
- 6 we're not objecting to that. I just noticed today --
- 7 THE COURT: Are you joining -- that's a sloppy way
- 8 of asking the question, do you want a severance, too?
- 9 MR. HADLEY: Yes, we do. I'll tell you one other
- 10 thing, if I may. Just learning it today, when you got two
- 11 attorneys there, one guy goes and asks all the questions of
- 12 Detective Lynn, there's not a whole lot left for me to do for
- 13 my client. Just as a practical matter, it kind of looks like
- 14 your client is not getting represented as well. Whoever gets
- 15 to go first --
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Patton is a hard act to follow.
- MR. HADLEY: Exactly. That's part of it.
- 18 THE COURT: We could reverse it. Let's talk about
- 19 your motion in limine?
- MR. HADLEY: Judge, as we agreed, you'll get the
- 21 full chats to review. There is no admission of wrongdoing, of
- 22 criminal activity at all in any of these things. This is just
- 23 a stigma of certain admissions, certain discussions, and
- 24 talking about pornography, totally legal. It's all adults.
- 25 The best example I can think of to help the court grasp this

4	•	
1	one 1	S

- 2 THE COURT: Everybody tries to help me grasp things.
- 3 MR. HADLEY: Just imagine, Judge McLaughlin, if you
- 4 were falsely charged with bank robbery, a federal crime, a bank
- 5 robbery. And the government, to prove their case, wanted to
- 6 introduce evidence that, well, Judge McLaughlin, you know, he
- 7 has a bank account. He goes in the bank and transacts
- 8 business. We want to introduce that as some kind of proof that
- 9 he robbed the bank. Now, that would be I think inadmissible in
- 10 any court, just as this would. They're trying to prove that my
- 11 client illegally possessed child pornography and to prove this,
- 12 they're going to say she had legal adult conversations with
- 13 another person. It doesn't add up, it doesn't fit, and there
- 14 is a lot of stigma. It wasn't hard to go back and find, we all
- 15 know, I don't think there's any dispute, homosexuality, she
- 16 admits to, bisexuality, creates great stigma. And when you get
- 17 a chance to look at these, they don't lend anything to the
- 18 evidence and they should be kept out. Thank you, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Trabold.
- MR. TRABOLD: Your Honor, if I could just take these

- 21 in reverse order. With regard to the chats first. These are
- 22 chats where this defendant, Alesha Eberle, talks about viewing
- 23 pornography on the Internet, indicates that she's bisexual, and
- 24 indicates that she's in teen chat rooms talking to teenagers.
- 25 They're clearly relevant in this case. And the legality of the

- 1 conversations has nothing to do with it.
- 2 THE COURT: This is 404(b) stuff under the
- 3 exception?
- 4 MR. TRABOLD: It is not 404(b), the government is
- 5 contending it is not 404(b) because -- the chats are statements
- 6 that are intrinsic to the crimes themselves. They are chats
- 7 which occur, at the very most, a month or two time difference
- 8 from when the child pornography that's at issue in this case,
- 9 is actually put on the computer. Our point is simply this.
- 10 The chats show a variety of things. Number one, they show that
- 11 this defendant is interested in pornography. Which is
- 12 something I need to demonstrate to the jury because, obviously,
- 13 if she's not interested in pornography, she's not going to be
- 14 interested in child pornography. Number two, and equally as

- 15 important, it shows that she's on the computer, that she's
- 16 using the computer, that she's skilled at using the computer
- 17 around the time when these child pornography images are out put
- 18 on the computers.
- Finally, there's a chat in there where she talks
- 20 about being in a chat room, talking to minors in the chat room,
- 21 and it's an underage teen chat, which is clearly relevant to
- 22 this case because you're talking about underage sexual
- 23 photographs that were put on their computer.
- 24 This is not 404(b) evidence because they are
- 25 statements right from the defendant occurring right around the

- 1 time of the occurrence of these offenses.
- 2 THE COURT: You're saying it's relevant evidence,
- 3 for instance, on the issue of intent?
- 4 MR. TRABOLD: Correct. By her saying in a chat to
- 5 her brother -- something to the effect that I'm on looking at
- 6 pornography. That shows that she has an interest in
- 7 pornography and, therefore, is the necessary first step that I
- 8 need to establish with regard to her intent to look at and

- 9 download, receive, possess child pornography.
- THE COURT: What about the severance?
- MR. TRABOLD: Judge, the case law is overwhelmingly
- 12 clear that antagonistic defenses is not a basis to sever.
- 13 Otherwise, almost every case where there are co-defendants
- 14 would be severed. It's black letter law that antagonistic
- 15 defenses is not a basis to sever. In fact, I think it's the
- 16 Zafiro case, cited by the defense, lays out in very stark terms
- 17 what are the only real three reasons to sever defendants in a
- 18 case like this. One is if it's a complex case. This is not a
- 19 complex case, it's not even close to being a complex case.
- 20 Number two is in the Bruton type case, which now that Counts
- 21 One and Two are not an issue in this case. Number three is if
- 22 there's evidence that exculpates one defendant will be
- 23 unavailable if they testify together. And that also is not the
- 24 case. So none of the three examples contemplated by the
- 25 Supreme Court and the Third Circuit are relevant to this case.

1 And antagonistic defenses are not enough for you to sever.

- 2 Just because a defendant wants a separate trial so they can
- 3 point at their wife and says she's the one that did it, does
- 4 not form the a basis under federal law to severe a case.
- 5 Finally, with regard to the issue of suppression.
- 6 The case law instructs you to first go to the issue of good
- 7 faith under Leon, and resolve that issue first. Because if you
- 8 resolve that issue in favor of the government, there is no
- 9 reason to go any further. And it's our position that you can
- 10 resolve this case under good faith and you can resolve it even
- 11 without relying on good faith. But I'll talk about good faith
- 12 first.
- First, your Honor, the whole basis for the
- 14 good-faith exception under Leon is that we want to deter police
- 15 misconduct by excluding evidence that they obtain which would
- 16 be of value. That's basically the basis for the exclusionary
- 17 rule, to protect people's Fourth Amendment rights by excluding
- 18 evidence in the hopes that police misconduct is deterred. My
- 19 question in this case is what is the police misconduct. There
- 20 are two search warrants in this case, which in and of itself, I
- 21 would submit to you, is indicative of the fact that there is no
- 22 police misconduct in this case. I submit to you you should not

- 23 have been left with the impression that this is a cavalier law
- 24 enforcement officer running roughshod over the rights of
- 25 criminal defendants. And if you don't make that conclusion

- 1 that under the good-faith exception, you should not suppress
- 2 this evidence. And the good-faith exception lays out in very
- 3 stark terms the four parameters that I'm talking about. Two of
- 4 them obviously don't apply. There's no indication here that
- 5 the magistrate, the district justice fully abandoned her role
- 6 in this case or that the warrant was facially deficient in the
- 7 sense that it gave permission to search the wrong location. So
- 8 those two are out.
- 9 Additionally, your Honor, I submit to you that
- 10 there's absolutely no way that you can conclude that the second
- 11 search warrant was so lacking in probable cause to be facially
- 12 deficient or that to cause somebody to rely on it would be
- 13 totally unreasonable.
- 14 Finally, there is no false information in this case.
- 15 There are no omissions of material fact which should cause the
- 16 good-faith exception to not apply in this case.

- 17 THE COURT: This is true, though, it's different
- 18 sides of the same coin. If he's right on Franks -- let me put
- 19 it this way. If an omission was recklessly made, you can't
- 20 have the Leon exception, can you?
- MR. TRABOLD: I think that's accurate.
- THE COURT: Let's now focus on what is the real
- 23 heart of this thing. What I need to hear from the government
- 24 is why -- I'm not going to structure the argument, you know
- 25 what Mr. Patton said, you tell me what you think about it?

- 1 MR. TRABOLD: Well, I gather that my sense of their
- 2 argument was that it oftentimes comes down to staleness. And
- 3 that this case comes down to staleness and if the chain is
- 4 broken, the government has no way of overcoming staleness. I
- 5 would absolutely disagree with that in this sense. A matter of
- 6 days before the second search warrant was executed, the
- 7 government received information from a 15-year-old victim that
- 8 these defendants violated her and took pictures of her back in
- 9 2001. But most important, as it relates to the staleness

- 10 issue, the victim in this case said, mere days before the
- 11 government executed their search warrant, she had a
- 12 conversation with these defendants where they indicated that
- 13 they would like to engage in these types of activities with her
- 14 again, and indicated that in no uncertain terms that they were
- 15 interested this doing sexual things with her. This
- 16 demonstrates that these two defendants at the time the
- 17 government executed their search warrant, still had a sexual
- 18 interest in children which overcomes the staleness issue. And
- 19 that's information the government receives three or four days
- 20 before the search warrant is executed. But most critically
- 21 here, separate and apart from the staleness issue. The cases
- 22 on material omission instruct you that what you need to do is
- 23 not look at everything in a vacuum and what you essentially
- 24 need to do is put the omitted information back in the warrant
- 25 and see if there's still probable cause. But in this case your

- 1 duty is not to just put the omitted information starkly by
- 2 itself, of course, the officer would have put in I searched
- 3 this, she even testified to this, I searched this computer, I

- 4 did not find any images of child pornography. However, the
- 5 computer was reformatted or wiped or whatever terminology she
- 6 would have used, and it had been re-rented to somebody else.
- 7 Now, I submit to you that no reasonable magistrate, when
- 8 presented with those set of facts, would have concluded that
- 9 there was no probable cause in this case. Because what you
- 10 essentially have are two intervening factors that would cause a
- 11 magistrate to conclude, okay, there is no child pornography on
- 12 this, however, the computer had been re-rented to somebody else
- 13 and the rental agency or rental company had done something to
- 14 the hard drive, formatted it, wiped it, whatever that may be,
- 15 which would explain to a magistrate why there's nothing on the
- 16 computer.
- 17 THE COURT: So are you saying, then, the defendant's
- 18 point that if the detective had looked harder, apropos to the
- 19 experts they put on who allegedly found all kinds of
- 20 information, if she had looked harder, she would have found
- 21 additional things is relevant to the analysis?
- MR. TRABOLD: The case law does not require the law
- 23 enforcement officer to engage in a perfect investigation. In
- 24 any case, this case, any case that comes before you, judge, the

25 law enforcement officer could always do more or could always do

- 1 things in a different way or could attempt to do things in a
- 2 perfect way. I'm not in any way casting aspersions on the
- 3 investigation that was conducted, but all of that is of no
- 4 consequence. What a defense expert thinks the law enforcement
- 5 officer should have done two years after the fact is of no
- 6 consequence. The only issue before you is did this officer act
- 7 in bad faith. And assuming that you make that determination
- 8 that the officer acted in bad faith, if you put the omitted
- 9 information in, does that destroy probable cause. And our
- 10 position is, number one, you had the opportunity to observe the
- 11 officer. There can be no conclusion that this officer acted in
- 12 bad faith. There's no indication that this officer ran
- 13 roughshod over the rights of these defendants. Why would a
- 14 officer who had that intention, who wanted to lie to a
- 15 magistrate, why would you engage in these types of tactics
- 16 where you go get two search warrants to obtain evidence. That
- 17 is not conduct indicative of an officer acting in bad faith.
- THE COURT: So to put a fine point on it, your point

- 19 is -- if in fact, assume she said Mr. Eberle's computer -- that
- 20 Mr. Eberle's computer was searched and it revealed nothing and
- 21 that it had been wiped or whatever the other term is, do I take
- 22 it that what you are saying, then, the magistrate could have
- 23 concluded maybe it was there and it was destroyed, wiped off,
- 24 that's why it wasn't there?
- 25 MR. TRABOLD: My point is that she would have

- 1 without question included those two additional facts, meaning
- 2 the computer was reformatted or wiped or whatever term you want
- 3 to use and it had been re-rented for a period of time.
- 4 THE COURT: Now, how critical -- and I don't know if
- 5 I asked Mr. Patton this, but when he gets up for his final
- 6 time, maybe I will. How critical -- well, put it this way.
- 7 The reasonableness of relying on the young victim's, not
- 8 testimony, statement at the police station and the forensic
- 9 interview, the reasonableness of accepting what she was saying
- 10 as true and concluding, for instance, that she was in fact the
- 11 person who was on the picture, that is an important component
- 12 in the whole calculous of probable cause here, isn't it?

- 14 today which would cause anybody to conclude that it was
- 15 anything less than perfectly reasonable for this law
- 16 enforcement officer and her partner to conclude that the victim
- 17 was telling the truth. It is absolutely laughable to conclude
- 18 that a law enforcement officer, when asking a victim if this is
- 19 a picture of them, if pictures that they have are pictures of
- 20 the victim, would show the victim a lineup of child pornography
- 21 pictures and ask her to pick herself out. That is absolutely
- 22 total and utter nonsense, it would never occur in a law
- 23 enforcement setting, especially in a case like this. You don't
- 24 show a 15-year-old kid other pictures of child pornography that
- 25 have nothing to do with them. This is what happens in this

- 1 case. And the fact that the victim has now said those are not
- 2 pictures of her is of no consequence. All that matters is what
- 3 the officers' knew when they interviewed her in March of 2005.
- 4 The victim comes in, is interviewed. Tells a great amount of
- 5 detail about what it is that happened to her at the hands of
- 6 these defendants. She includes in her version of events that

- 8 the close of the interview, the officers say well, we have
- these pictures, they ask her, without even showing her the
- pictures, they ask her some questions to confirm in their own
- mind whether they're even on the right track. And by that I 11
- mean they ask her questions to confirm that what she says about 12
- the pictures are what the pictures actually depict. If she had 13
- said well, these pictures were taken of me out on Presque Isle 14
- on the beach, then they would have known this isn't the girl 15
- we're looking for. She describes the general factual scenario 16
- that is borne out by the pictures. There's a bunch of
- different pictures taken of me, some of them I was naked, some 18
- to them I had my underwear on, some of them I had my bra on. 19
- 20 She then goes even further than that and says in the pictures I
- 21 know at the time, not in the pictures, I know at the time I had
- a locket or a necklace that I wore all the time, I wore a ring 22
- 23 on one of my left fingers, I wore a particular bra. All of
- that is borne out by the pictures. They then show her some of
- the pictures. And even take steps to put post-its on to

- 1 minimize the trauma to her. She then breaks down and cries
- 2 when they show her the pictures and has a reaction indicative
- 3 of somebody that is viewing their own victimization
- 4 memorialized in pictures that have been on the Internet. So I
- 5 did not hear even the slightest shred of evidence presented
- 6 here today that should cause you to conclude that the officers'
- 7 conclusions that she was telling the truth were anything less
- 8 than totally reasonable.
- 9 I just want to sum this up in the sense of what
- 10 evidence of bad faith do you have coming from the officer. It
- 11 certainly can't be evidence from expert witnesses that either
- 12 work for the Public Defender's office or are paid an expert fee
- 13 that they would have done things differently. And the law does
- 14 not require law enforcement officers to conduct a perfect
- 15 examination or a perfect investigation.
- 16 THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Trabold. Mr.
- 17 Patton, is there something you want to say in brief response?
- MR. PATTON: The misconduct is apparent as the nose
- 19 on anyone's face. Getting a search warrant doesn't mean
- 20 anything if you withhold critical information from the judge.
- 21 It's the whole point of Franks\_v.\_Delaware. And the whole

- 22 point as to why Leon doesn't apply if there's a Franks'
- 23 violation. Because the misconduct is not telling the judge oh,
- 24 by the way, here's some evidence that would obliterate my
- 25 argument for why there's probable cause in this case. Whether

- 1 the police believe Tiffany's statement or not, I submit, means
- 2 nothing with regard to whether or not there is probable cause
- 3 to believe three-and-a-half years after Tiffany is saying these
- 4 pictures are taken of her, that the images are still on the
- 5 computer. When Lynn knows that it's not the same computer.
- 6 Because she knows, she's already confirmed that the computer
- 7 George used to have was from the House of Television and that
- 8 that got returned and then re-rented to somebody else. The
- 9 only way -- the fact that Tiffany said I just had a phone
- 10 conversation with the Eberles a couple days ago and they said
- 11 they wanted to make another videotape, if you even believe all
- 12 of that stuff, does not provide probable cause to say I can now
- 13 go search this person's computer because I now have probable
- 14 cause to believe they have child pornography on the computer.
- 15 Because they said they have sexual interest in children. There

- 16 is no evidence of that. I mean simply because somebody is
- 17 saying I have a sexual interest in children doesn't mean, okay,
- 18 you now have probable cause to go search their computer.
- 19 And what makes this case different from other
- 20 staleness cases where a law enforcement officer is saying hey,
- 21 we've been able to get through Internet records, ISP records,
- 22 that this person was logged on the Internet at this time and
- 23 downloaded child pornography onto their computer and even a lot
- 24 of time passes -- we still believe that this stuff is on the
- 25 computer. What is different about this case is Detective Lynn

- 1 had gotten one of George's computers. Now, this issue about
- 2 well, she would have told the magistrate or the district
- 3 justice well, the thing had been rebooted, so it may have been
- 4 deleted. In the affidavit she gave to get the House of
- 5 Television computer, she knew that the computer had already
- 6 been reformatted. And she still said I have probable cause to
- 7 believe these images are on the hard drive because I can get
- 8 that stuff with forensic software. The government wants to
- 9 make it a perfect heads they win, tails we lose argument. When

- 10 we want to have probable cause, we say to the judge, don't
- 11 worry about the fact that these may be deleted because we can
- 12 use forensic software to recover them. Then when they actually
- 13 get the computer, use the forensic software, don't find the
- 14 images or any child pornography, then they want to say, well,
- 15 that doesn't really mean anything because the thing got
- 16 reformatted.
- 17 And the opinions of the defense experts are relevant
- 18 on a couple of issues. You had both of them, who have done
- 19 forensic work, who say, look, if you know you have Eberle's
- 20 hard drive and it had been only re-rented for nine days and it
- 21 had been just reformatted but not completely wiped, if you look
- 22 for these images and don't find them, the reasonable conclusion
- 23 to be drawn by a competent forensic examiner is these things
- 24 were never on the hard drive. And once you reach that
- 25 conclusion, then Lynn has no basis of saying there is probable

- 1 cause to believe these images are on George's computer in March
- 2 of 2005.
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Patton.

- 4 MR. HADLEY: Your Honor, on the motion in limine,
- 5 rebuttal just briefly, if I may. Just one quick sentence on
- 6 this. In my brief I had all the statistics about how rampant
- 7 pornography is on the Internet. The government cannot possibly
- 8 be allowed to make the leap that an interest in pornography is
- 9 the foundation for showing in interest in child pornography.
- 10 One's legal, one's illegal, there is absolutely no connection,
- 11 judge. The motion in limine should be granted. Thank you.
- 12 THE COURT: Are we all set -- I apologize, were any
- 13 exhibits moved or need to be moved, just to clean our record up
- 14 here?
- MR. PATTON: Judge, I did not move Defendant's
- 16 Exhibit D, which is the records from the House of Television.
- 17 THE COURT: Do you want to move those?
- 18 MR. PATTON: I would move those.
- 19 THE COURT: Exhibit D is admitted. Was there
- 20 anything else identified that needs to be admitted?
- MR. PATTON: The search warrants have been referred
- 22 to, but they're attached to my motion.
- THE COURT: All right, they're admitted. Thank you,
- 24 counsel, we'll get something out on this.

Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM Document 95 Filed 03/31/2006 Page 234 of 235 (Whereupon, at 3:00 p.m., the proceedings were

1	concluded.)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	CERTIFICATE
8	
9	
10	I, Ronald J. Bench, certify that the foregoing is a
11	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
12	above-entitled matter.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Ronald J. Bench

::///A /EBE	RLESP.TXT	D 105	F'I 1 00 /04 /0000	D 005 (005
19	Case 1:05-cr-00026-SJM	Document 95	Filed 03/31/2006	Page 235 of 235
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				